

DOCKET NO.: 43930-0005IP1

Filed on behalf of Unified Patents, Inc.

By: C. Eric Schulman, Reg. No. 43,350

W. Karl Renner, Reg. No. 41,265

David L. Holt, Reg. No. 65,161

Fish & Richardson P.C.

3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Tel: (650) 839-5070

Email: schulman@fr.com

Jonathan Stroud, Reg. No. 72,518

Roshan Mansinghani, Reg. No. 62,429

Unified Patents, Inc.

1875 Connecticut Ave. NW, Floor 10

Washington, DC, 20003

Tel: (202) 805-8931

Email: jonathan@unifiedpatents.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A.

Patent Owner

IPR2018-00057

U.S. Patent 6,110,228

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,110,228
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1, 6-7, 10, 18, 25-26, 29, 67-68, and 70-71
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Mandatory Notices.....	1
A.	Real Party-in-Interest	1
B.	Related Matters.....	1
C.	Counsel.....	2
D.	Service Information.....	2
II.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	3
III.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	3
A.	Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications.....	3
B.	Grounds for Challenge	5
IV.	Overview Of the '228 Patent.....	5
A.	Summary of the Alleged Invention	5
B.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	7
C.	Prosecution History	7
V.	Claim Construction	8
A.	“interactively receiving a request”	9
B.	“service”	10
C.	“optional service incorporation instructions”.....	11
VI.	Specific Grounds for Petition.....	13
A.	Ground I: Claims 1, 6-7, 10, 18, 25-26, 29, 67-68, and 70-71 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over <i>Crawford</i> in view of <i>Reisman</i>	14
1.	Overview of <i>Crawford</i>	14
2.	Overview of <i>Reisman</i>	17
3.	The Combination of <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	19
4.	Reasons to Combine <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	22
5.	Claim 1 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	23
6.	Claim 6 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	35
7.	Claim 7 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	39

8.	Claim 10 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	41
9.	Claim 18 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	42
10.	Claim 25 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	45
11.	Claim 26 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	45
12.	Claim 29 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	46
13.	Claim 67 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	47
14.	Claims 68 and 70 are obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	48
15.	Claim 71 is obvious over <i>Crawford</i> and <i>Reisman</i>	48
B.	Ground II: Claim 1 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over <i>Frye</i>	49
1.	Overview of <i>Frye</i>	49
2.	The combination of the embodiment in the <i>Frye Patent</i> and the embodiment in the <i>Frye User Manual</i>	55
3.	Motivation to combine the <i>Frye Patent</i> and the <i>Frye User Manual</i>	57
4.	Claim 1 is obvious over the <i>Frye Patent</i> and the <i>Frye User Manual</i>	58
VII.	Conclusion.....	69

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Party-in-Interest

Unified Patents, Inc. (“Unified Patents” or “Petitioner”) certifies it is the real party-in-interest, and further certifies that no other party exercised or could exercise direction, funding, or control over its participation in this proceeding, the filing of this petition, or the conduct of any ensuing trial.

B. Related Matters

U.S. Pat. 6,110,228 (“the ’228 Patent” (EX1001)) is owned by UNILOC LUXEMBOURG S.A. (“Uniloc” or “Patent Owner”). The ’228 patent is the subject of at least 15 matters. A list of related actions involving the ’228 Patent as of the date of filing—to the extent related actions are identifiable through diligent searching—follows:

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Big Fish Games, Inc., 2:17-cv-00172 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Box, Inc., 2:17-cv-00173 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Nutanix, Inc., 2:17-cv-00174 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Ubisoft, Inc., 2:17-cv-00175 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Zendesk, Inc., 2:17-cv-00176 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Riot Games, Inc., 2:17-cv-00275 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Nexon America, Inc., 2:17-cv-00276 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Square Enix, Inc., 2:17-cv-00302 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Kaspersky Lab, Inc., 2:17-cv-00305 (TXED);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Infor, Inc., 2-17-cv-00370 (TXED);

Nutanix, Inc v. Uniloc USA, Inc. et al, 4-17-cv-03181 (CAND);

Riot Games, Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc. et al, 8-17-cv-01050 (CACD);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Big Fish Games, Inc., 2-17-cv-01183 (WAWD);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Infor, Inc., 3-17-cv-02119 (TXND);

Uniloc USA, Inc. et al v. Box, Inc., 1-17-cv-00754 (TXWD).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: C. Eric Schulman (Reg. No. 43,350)

First Backup Counsel: Jonathan Stroud (Reg. No. 72,518)

Backup Counsel: Roshan Mansinghani, (Reg. No. 62,429)

Backup Counsel: W. Karl Renner (Reg. No. 41,265)

Backup Counsel: David L. Holt (Reg. No. 65,161)

D. Service Information

Fish & Richardson P.C.

3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402

T: 202-783-5070

F: 877-769-7945

Email: PTABInbound@fr.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.