```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
              FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                         SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 4
              Plaintiff,
                                   ) Civil Action Nos.
 5
                                   ) 8:16-CV-01799-JVS-AGR
                                    ) 8:16-CV-01800-JVS-AGR
     vs.
                                    ) 8:16-CV-01790-JVS-AGR
6
     WESTERN DIGITAL CORPORATION, )
7
     et al.,
                                    )
                                    )
              Defendants.
8
9
10
11
12
13
         ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF VERNON THOMAS
     RHYNE, III, produced as a witness at the instance of
14
     the Defendants, and duly sworn, was taken in the
15
16
     above-styled and numbered cause on April 20, 2018,
     from 9:06 a.m. to 5:50 p.m., before WILLIAM M.
17
18
     FREDERICKS, CSR in and for the State of Texas,
19
     reported by machine shorthand at the offices of
     Bracewell LLP, 111 Congress Avenue, 22nd Floor,
20
21
     Austin, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
     Civil Procedure.
2.2
2.3
     Job No. 2864787
2.4
25
     Pages 1 - 295
                                                   Page 1
```



1	Q. Right. But they didn't they didn't
2	indicate within the patent itself that they actually
3	already had possession of that, right?
4	A. I I mean, I don't think anybody can write
5	a patent and totally predict what the future changes
б	in technology are going to be.
7	Q. Well, there's not even a hint that they had
8	it in the possession of this invention, right, in the
9	patent?
10	A. Well, that's it's a the patent either
11	speaks to it or against it. I mean, this is an
12	implementation deal. Okay. I've I've lived
13	through everything from vacuum tubes to SoCs, and
14	they're just things change in terms of how you
15	implement them when you take your conceptual ideas
16	shown, for example, in a block diagram like
17	Figure 6 of the '802 and you then build it in a way
18	that it could actually be a product. That's that's
19	an implementation detail.
20	Q. Would your strike that. It's more of a
21	legal question. I'll leave that out.
22	(Discussion off the record.)
23	Q. (BY MR. COTE) Turn to Figure 4 of the '802
24	patent. I'm sorry. The Harari patent. My mistake.

Page 125



25

Α.

Okay. Okay.

1	Q. And then give me a second to get my copy of
2	the Harari patent from this paper mess here that I
3	have.
4	So looking at Figure 4 of the Harari
5	patent, we've got the flash system controller shown as
6	element 40, correct?
7	A. Oh, the whole thing. Yes.
8	Q. Yes. And there is an element 54, the host
9	interface. Do you see that?
10	A. Uh-huh.
11	Q. And within it there is a buffer or SRAM,
12	right?
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	THE REPORTER: "A buffer or"
15	MR. COTE: SRAM.
16	Q. (BY MR. COTE) And connector 12 could be, for
17	example, the PCMCIA connector, right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. All right. And so the host interface would
20	be interacting with the host computer over the PCMCIA
21	interface using PCMCIA protocols, right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And that would be strike that.
24	And then there's also a memory interface
25	56 that includes a flash controller 59.

Page 126



1	Do you see that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And that interfaces with the flash chips 1
4	and 2 in the daughter card, right?
5	A. 1 through N.
6	Q. Oh, you're right. 1 through N.
7	A. Uh-huh.
8	Q. My mistake. Element 30
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q is the daughter card?
11	A. So the card could be built up of multiple
12	chips.
13	Q. Okay. And the host interface would be
14	analogous to or perform the same function as the
15	blocks in Figure 9B of the '802 patent that interface
16	with the PCMCIA interface, right?
17	A. I there there are two there I
18	mean, there's something similar about what's shown in
19	Figure 4 and the top half of Figure 9, if that's what
20	you're referring to. In fact, there's a reference
21	again to Element 12.
22	MR. KROEGER: He's referring to
23	Figure 9 of the '802 patent, not Figure 9 of Harari.
24	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I
25	misunderstood your question. Thank you.





1 (BY MR. COTE) Figure 9B in particular of --Q. 2 Okay. I'm sorry. So would you restate your Α. 3 question. Sure. So the host interface 54 in Figure 4 4 Ο. 5 of Harari would perform the same function as the 6 blocks that interface with the PCMCIA interface in Figure 9B, right? 8 Did you mean 54 or 12 in -- in Harari's 9 Figure 4? In -- in Harari's Figure 4, I'm talking 10 11 about host interface --12 Α. Okay. 13 -- block 54, and I'm asking you if they perform the same function as -- and I'll identify the 14 15 blocks in Figure 9B of the '802 patent -- the PCMCIA 16 I/O controller? 17 Α. Okay. 18 PCMCIA address buffer? Q. 19 Α. Yep. 20 PCMCIA data buffer? Ο. 21 Α. Yep. 22 Ready register? Ο. 23 Α. Ready/busy register, right. 24 Yes. Command detector and state controller? 0. 25 Α. Okay. I think I might have to do some



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

