

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00128
Patent 8,116,284 B2

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, DANIEL N. FISHMAN,
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION

*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of
Joshua M. Ryland
37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Joshua M. Ryland in this proceeding. Paper 24 (“Motion”). Petitioner represents that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. *Id.* at 1. The Motion is *granted*.

In its Motion, Petitioner states that there is good cause to recognize Mr. Ryland during this proceeding because “Mr. Ryland is an experienced intellectual

property litigation attorney” having “more than fifteen years of patent litigation experience,” and because “Mr. Ryland is counsel for Hytera in several corresponding patent disputes relating to the subject matter the Petition, including counsel of record in the co-pending patent litigation matter, *Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.* (N.D. Ohio, Case No. 1-17-cv-01794).” *Id.* at 2. The Motion includes, as an exhibit, a Declaration made by Mr. Ryland, attesting to and sufficiently explaining these facts. Ex. 1019. In addition, Mr. Ryland acknowledges his understanding that he “agree[s] to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).” *Id.* at 2.

Upon consideration, Petitioner has demonstrated sufficiently that Mr. Ryland has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion seeking admission *Pro Hac Vice* for Joshua M. Ryland is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall submit, within seven (7) business days of the date of this order, a Power of Attorney for Mr. Ryland in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall submit, within seven (7) days of this order, an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Ryland as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Ryland is to comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations and the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide including the August 2018 update;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Ryland is subject to the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding; Mr. Ryland is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding.

Case IPR2018-00128
Patent 8,116,284 B2

For PETITIONER:

Todd R. Tucker
Mark W. McDougall
Joshua A. Friedman
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
ttucker@calfee.com
mmcdougall@calfee.com
jfriedman@calfee.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Jon R. Carter
Eugene Goryunov
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
carterj@kirkland.com
egoryunov@kirkland.com