#### U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RIOT GAMES, INC. And VALVE CORP., Petitioner

V.

PALTALK HOLDINGS, INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR 2018-00129 (Patent 5,822,523) Case IPR 2018-00130 (Patent 5,822,523 C1) Case IPR 2018-00131 (Patent 6,226,686) Case IPR 2018-00132 (Patent 6,226,686 C1)

> Record of Oral Hearing Held: February 13th, 2019

> > \_\_\_\_\_

Before THU A. DANG, KARL D. EASTHOM, NEIL T. POWELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



### **APPEARANCES:**

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER RIOT GAMES: SCOTT M. BORDER, ESQ. SAMUEL A. DILLON, ESQ. JOSEPH A. MICALLEF, ESQ. of: Sidley Austin, LLP 1501 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 736 8818 (Border) (202) 736 8298 (Dillon) sborder@sidley.com samuel.dillon@sidley

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER VALVE CORP.: SHARON A. ISRAEL, ESQ. of: Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP 600 Travis Street Suite 3400 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 546 5689 sisrael@shb.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER: GREGORY M. HOWISON, ESQ. KEITH D. HARDEN, ESQ. BRIAN D. WALKER, ESQ. Of: Munck Wilson Mandala, LLP 600 Banner Place Tower 12770 Coit Road Dallas, Texas 75251 (972) 628 3616 ghowison@munckwilson.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, February 13, 2019, commencing at 10:05 a.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



	Case IPR 2018-00132 (Patent 6,226,686 C1)
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:05 a.m.
3	JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay, welcome, everybody.
4	Riot Games, Inc. and Valve Corp. v. Paltalk Holdings, Inc. We
5	have four cases here and four other cases are joined to those: Cases IPR
6	2018-00129 with IPR 2018-0242 joined to that, and IPR 2018-00130 with
7	IPR 2018-01241 joined to that. Those cases collectively challenge all the
8	claims in Patent 5,822,523. Then we have IPR 2018-00131 with IPR 2018-
9	01238 joined to that, and IPR 2018-00132 with IPR 2018-1243 joined to
10	that. And those cases collectively challenge the claims in Patent
11	6,226,686.Petitioner the parties have asked for an hour for the four cases
12	collectively. And Petitioner has the burden of proof. So, Petitioner will
13	proceed first and then, if you want to reserve rebuttal time, let me know.
14	Patent Owner will go after Petitioner's first showing and then, if you
15	want to reserve rebuttal time, you can reserve that also.
16	Give me a second to see if I can figure this clock out again.
17	Patent Owner Petitioner, will you want to reserve time?
18	MR. HOWISON: Your Honor, we'd like to reserve 20 minutes for
19	rebuttal.
20	JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay, Okay, why don't we have the parties
21	introduce themselves for the record?

Case IPR 2018-00129 (Patent 5,822,523) Case IPR 2018-00130 (Patent 5,822,523 C1) Case IPR 2018-00131 (Patent 6,226,686)



Case IPR 2018-00129 (Patent 5,822,523) Case IPR 2018-00130 (Patent 5,822,523 C1) Case IPR 2018-00131 (Patent 6,226,686) Case IPR 2018-00132 (Patent 6,226,686 C1)

1 MR. MICALLEF: Joe Micallef for Petitioner, Riot Games, and 2 Sidley Austin. And these are my partners, Scott Border, who will be 3 making the argument for Petitioners; my colleague, Sam Dillon; my partner 4 John McBride. And also with us is counsel for Valve Corporation, Sharon 5 Israel. 6 JUDGE EASTHOM: Okay. 7 MR. BORDER: Good morning, Your Honors. 8 Your Honor, as you mentioned, there are four proceedings that we 9 are discussing today, but there are only a handful of contested issues. My 10 presentation intends to focus on those contested issues. Go to slide 2, please? 11 12 And I'd like to start first with a brief overview of the contested patents. I then want to go into our main combination of prior art which the 13 14 Aldred and RFC 1692 reference. 15 And, next, I plan on handling the independent claim disputes and 16 there are two, and they are essentially the same across all four proceedings. 17 The first dispute is whether Petitioner displayed an express 18

The first dispute is whether Petitioner displayed an express motivation combined RFC 1692 with Aldred. Patent Owner has challenged our showing, but as I'll discuss, we think it's based on an unsupported hypothetical and is unsupported by the record.



19

20

Case IPR 2018-00130 (Patent 5,822,523 C1) Case IPR 2018-00131 (Patent 6,226,686) Case IPR 2018-00132 (Patent 6,226,686 C1) The second dispute is over claim construction. Patent Owner has litigated these patents for over a decade and only until this proceeding did they argue this transport layer everywhere. My colleague, Sam Dillon, will address the dependent claim disputes when I'm done discussing the independent claims case. Can we go to slide 4, please? Two patents involved, each with the same priority date, February 1st, 1996. All of our prior art is 102B prior art and Patent Owner no longer contests the prior art status of those references. Slide 5, please? This is a depiction of Patent Owner's invention that they submitted in a ex parte re-exam. They described it as essential server that communicates with multiple clients. The central server has group messaging capabilities. You can create and join groups. Clients can send messages to servers and the server then distributes those messages to the clients. There's no dispute that Aldred discloses each of those features. It also has the feature of aggregating message payloads prior to distributing to

also has the feature of aggregating message payloads prior to distributing to each of the clients. That is central to this queue here. We show that RFC 1692 shows that aggregated by maintenance.

21 Slide 8, please?

Case IPR 2018-00129 (Patent 5,822,523)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

