

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NECKSGEN, INC.

Petitioner

v.

SIMPSON PERFORMANCE PRODUCTS, INC.

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2018-00133

Patent No. 9,351,529

Issued: May 31, 2016

---

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.100 *Et. Seq.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXHIBIT LIST .....	i
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	2
a. Real-Party-In-Interest (RPI) .....	2
b. Notice Of Related Matters.....	2
c. Lead and Back-up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(3) .....	3
d. Notice of Service Information .....	4
3. PAYMENT OF FEES.....	4
4. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	4
a. Grounds For Standing.....	4
b. Identification of Challenged Claims.....	5
5. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED .....	6
a. Legal Standard.....	6
b. Level of Ordinary Skill In The Art In The Relevant Timeframe .....	7
c. Background of Head and Neck Restraints at The Time of the ‘529 Patent – Anchors, Helmets, and Tethers.....	7
d. The ‘529 Patent.....	10
e. Prosecution History of the ‘529 Patent.....	14
6. Prior Art .....	18
a. U.S. Patent No. 4,638,510 (Hubbard ‘510)(Ex. 1005).....	18
b. U.S. Patent No. 6,009,566 (Hubbard ‘566)(Ex. 1006).....	18
c. U.S. Patent No. 6,810,535 (Moloney)(Ex 1007).....	19
d. U.S. Patent No. 6,871,360 (Ashline ‘360)(Ex 1008) .....	19
e. U.S. Patent No. 6,931,669 (Ashline ‘669)(Ex 1009) .....	19
7. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	19
a. “attached” .....	20

- b. “jointly attached” .....21
- c. “begin disposed between shoulder belts of a seat belt assembly” .....21
- d. “helmet” .....22
- e. “tether” .....22

8. CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY.....22

- a. Claim 1 .....23
  - i. Claim 1[a] Elements - Preamble .....23
  - ii. Claim 1[b] and 1[c] Elements. ....23
  - iii. Claim 1[d] Elements.....24
  - iv. Motivation to Combine Hubbard ‘510 and Ashline ‘360 .....24
- b. Claim 8 .....26
  - i. Claim 8[a] Elements - Preamble .....27
  - ii. Claim 8[b] Elements.....27
  - iii. Claim 8[c] Elements .....28
  - iv. Claim 8[d] Elements .....28
  - v. Claim 8[e] Elements.....30
  - vi. Motivation to Combine Hubbard ‘510, Hubbard ‘566, Ashline ‘360 and Ashline ‘669.....31
- c. Independent Claim 14.....34
  - i. Claim 14 [a] Elements - Preamble .....35
  - ii. Claim 14 [b] Elements.....35
  - iii. Claim 14 [c] Elements.....35
  - iv. Claim 14 [d] Elements.....36
  - v. Claim 14 [e] Elements.....39
  - vi. Motivation to Combine Hubbard ‘510, Hubbard ‘566, Ashline ‘360 and Ashline ‘669.....39
- d. Dependent Claims 2, 9, and 15 – Support Member Configured to be Worn.39
- e. Dependent Claims 3, 10, 16 –Side Tethers Attached Underneath the Support Member .....41

f. Dependent Claims 4, 11, 17 – Rigid Support Member .....46

g. Dependent Claim 5 – Rear tether angles upwards and forwards from the support member .....47

h. Dependent Claim 6 – Rear Tethers Angled Upwards in the Range of 25 to 45 Degrees. ....51

i. Dependent Claim 7 – Rear Tether Angles Inwards From the Support Member 52

j. Dependent Claim 12 – Support Member Engages the Shoulder Belts .....54

k. Dependent Claims 13 and 19 – Side Tethers Not Disposed Directly Vertically above Any Shoulder Belt.....56

l. Dependent Claim 18 – Side tethers does not pass directly vertically above any of the shoulder belts. ....59

9. SECTION 325(d) DOES NOT BAR CONSIDERATION OF PETITIONNER’S ART, ARGUMENTS, AND EVIDENCE BECAUSE IT HAS NOT BEEN PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED. ....62

10. CONCLUSION .....64

11. CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....65

12. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....66

**Table of Authority**

**Cases**

*Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc.*, IPR2014-01544, Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, 2015 WL 1546526, at \*7-8 (Apr. 3, 2015) .....63

*Glob. Tel\*link Corp. v. Securus Techs., Inc.*, IPR2014-00824, Final Written Decision, 2015 WL 9599196, at \*31 (Dec. 2, 2015).....63

*In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955) ..... 38, 49, 52, 53

*In re Applied Materials, Inc.*, 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012) ..... 38, 49, 52, 53

*In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC*, 793 F.3d 1268 (Fed. Cir. 2015); *aff'd*, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....20

*In re Translogic Tech., Inc.*, 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....20

*Iron Grip Barbell Co., Inc. v. USA Sports, Inc.*, 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 38, 49, 52, 53

*KSR Int’l v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398, (2007) ..... 6, 46, 51

*Microsoft Corp. v. Parallel Networks Licensing, LLC*, IPR2015-00486, Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, 2015 WL 4760578, at \*8 (July 15, 2015).....62

*Praxair Distribution Inc. v. Ino Therapeutics, LLC*, IPR2015-00893, Institution of *Inter Partes* Review, at \*7-9 (Sept. 22, 2015).....63

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....6, 62

35 U.S.C. §112.....20

35 U.S.C. §314 (a) .....62

35 U.S.C. §325(d) .....63

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.