

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

InAuth, Inc.,
Petitioner,

v.

mSIGNIA, Inc.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00150
U.S. Patent 9,559,852

**PATENT OWNER MSIGNIA INC.'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND3

 A. Procedural History3

 B. Co-Pending Litigation4

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION4

 A. “generating a challenge”4

IV. REASONS FOR NONINSTITUTION5

 A. Etchegoyen5

 1. Overview of Etchegoyen.....5

 2. Etchegoyen does not disclose a system based on
 “anticipated changes to . . . stored data values.”7

 3. Etchegoyen’s system does not store “anticipated
 changes to . . . stored data values.”9

 4. Dependent Claims11

 a. Etchegoyen does not disclose authentication
 based on “acceptable changes to a user
 minutia data value” (claims 4 and 5).....12

 b. Etchegoyen does not use the claimed “stored
 data value” to create the challenge (claim 7).....14

 c. Etchegoyen does not compare the response
 to member of a set of two or more allowable
 responses (claims 14-16).15

 5. InAuth’s stated combination rationales are
 inadequate.16

Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response
Case No. IPR2018-00150/US Patent No. 9,559,852

a.	InAuth’s motivation argument for claims 15 and 16 is conclusory.	16
b.	InAuth’s combination argument for claims 6 and 8-12 do not adequately explain how or why a POSA would have been motivated to overcome the significant differences between Etchegoyen and Jakobsson.....	18
c.	InAuth’s combination argument for claims 22 and 23 does explain how or why subject matter from Varghese would be incorporated into Etchegoyen.....	20
B.	Varghese.....	20
1.	Overview of Varghese	21
2.	Varghese’s system does not use “information regarding anticipated changes.”.....	22
3.	InAuth’s Varghese arguments make determinations based on third-party information, and not based on data values from the “response.”	23
4.	Dependent Claims	25
a.	InAuth has not shown that Varghese provides a response having user minutia (claims 3-6).....	25
b.	InAuth has not shown that Varghese uses a stored data value to generate a portion of the challenge (claim 7).	26
c.	InAuth has not shown the use of a “set of acceptable data values” (claims 8-12) or a “set of acceptable responses” (claims 14-16).	27

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
Case No. IPR2018-00150/US Patent No. 9,559,852

- d. InAuth has not shown that Varghese uses information from the response to update stored data values (claims 13, 22, and 23).....28

V. CONCLUSION.....28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Comm'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	19
<i>NetMoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d. 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	26
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.