UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
BROADCOM LTD.,
Petitioner
V.
TESSERA, INC.
Patent Owner
Case No. IPR2018-00172

Patent No. 6,573,609

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ*.



TABLE OF CONTENTS


INTR	RODUCTION 1 -			
MAN	DATO	ORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)	- 1 -	
A.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST 1			
В.	RELATED MATTERS 1			
C. PAYMENT OF FEES				
D. DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL				
E.	SERV	/ICE INFORMATION	- 2 -	
F.	POW	ER OF ATTORNEY	- 3 -	
REQU	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW			
A.	GRO	UND FOR STANDING	- 3 -	
B.	IDEN	TIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	- 3 -	
	1.	Background of the Technology	- 3 -	
	2.	Prior Art	- 6 -	
C.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED			
	1.	Ground 1: Iyogi	- 7 -	
	2.	Ground 2: Iyogi and the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	- 7 -	
	3.	Ground 3: Iyogi in combination with Kato and the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	- 7 -	
	4.	Overview of the Challenged Claims	- 7 -	
OVE	RVIEV	V OF THE '609 Patent	- 9 -	
A.	PRIORITY DATE OF THE '609 PATENT9			
В.	SUM	MARY OF THE '609 PATENT AND FILE HISTORY	- 9 -	
	MAN A. B. C. D. F. REQU A. C. OVEI	MANDATO A. REAL B. REL C. PAYN D. DESI E. SERV F. POW REQUIREN A. GROV B. IDEN 1. 2. C. STAT 1. 2. A. OVERVIEW A. PRIO	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B) A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST B. RELATED MATTERS C. PAYMENT OF FEES D. DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL E. SERVICE INFORMATION F. POWER OF ATTORNEY REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW A. GROUND FOR STANDING B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE 1. Background of the Technology 2. Prior Art C. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED 1. Ground 1: Iyogi 2. Ground 2: Iyogi and the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art 3. Ground 3: Iyogi in combination with Kato and the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art 4. Overview of the Challenged Claims OVERVIEW OF THE '609 PATENT	



	C.	PER	SON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	12 -
	D.	CLA	IM CONSTRUCTION	12 -
		1.	"connection component"	13 -
		2.	"microelectronic component"	13 -
		3.	"microelectronic element"	14 -
		4.	"first interposer"	14 -
		5.	"second interposer"	14 -
		6.	Directional terms such as "over," "under," "front," "rear," "top," "bottom," etc	15 -
V.	LEG	AL ST	TANDARDS	15 -
	A.	Anti	cipation	15 -
	В.	Obvi	ousness	16 -
VI.			TEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF	18 -
	A.	58, <i>A</i>	OUND 1: CLAIMS 1-3, 6-8, 10, 22-28, 40-42, 45-47, 55- AND 70-72 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS ANTICIPATED YOGI	18 -
		1.	Claim 1	18 -
		2.	Claim 2	21 -
		3.	Claim 3	22 -
		4.	Claim 6	23 -
		5.	Claim 7	23 -
		6.	Claim 8	24 -
		7.	Claim 10	25 -
		8.	Claim 22	26 -



9.	Claim 23	27 -		
10.	Claim 24	27 -		
11.	Claim 25	28 -		
12.	Claim 26	30 -		
13.	Claim 27	31 -		
14.	Claim 28	32 -		
15.	Claim 40	33 -		
16.	Claim 41	35 -		
17.	Claim 42	35 -		
18.	Claim 45	36 -		
19.	Claim 46	37 -		
20.	Claim 47	38 -		
21.	Claim 55	39 -		
22.	Claim 56	39 -		
23.	Claim 57	39 -		
24.	Claim 58	39 -		
25.	Claim 70	40 -		
26.	Claim 71	41 -		
27.	Claim 72	41 -		
GROUND 2: CLAIM 5 IS UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF IYOGI42 -				
1	Claim 5	42		



B.

C.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 4, 9, 11-21, 29-39, 43-44, 48-54, 3 AND 73 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS IN VIE OF IYOGI IN COMBINATION WITH KATO			
	1.	Motivation to Combine	- 43 -	
	2.	Claim 4	- 43 -	
	3.	Claim 9	- 44 -	
	4.	Claim 11	- 45 -	
	5.	Claim 12	- 46 -	
	6.	Claim 13	- 47 -	
	7.	Claim 14	- 48 -	
	8.	Claim 15	- 51 -	
	9.	Claim 16	- 53 -	
	10.	Claim 17	- 53 -	
	11.	Claim 18	- 54 -	
	12.	Claim 19	- 54 -	
	13.	Claim 20	- 54 -	
	14.	Claim 21	- 55 -	
	15.	Claim 29	- 55 -	
	16.	Claim 30	- 56 -	
	17.	Claim 31	- 57 -	
	18.	Claim 32	- 58 -	
	19.	Claim 33	- 59 -	
	20.	Claim 34	- 59 -	
	21	Claim 25	50	



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

