

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00176
Patent 6,591,111 B1

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, DANIEL N. FISHMAN, and
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of
Akshay S. Deoras
37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Patent Owner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Akshay S. Deoras in this proceeding. Paper 20 (“Motion”). Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the motion. *Id.* at 1. Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In its motion, Patent Owner states that there is good cause to recognize Mr. Deoras during this proceeding because “Mr. Deoras is an experienced litigator” having “more than 9 years of patent litigation experience,” and because “[h]e is counsel for Motorola in the co-pending district court action related to this patent (*Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd., Hytera America, Inc., and Hytera Communications America (West), Inc.*, Case No. 17-cv-1972) and counsel in a manner before the International Trade Commission between the same parties (*In the Matter of Certain Two-Way Radio Equipment and Systems, Related Software, and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-1053).” *Id.* at 3–4, 6. The Motion includes, as an exhibit, a Declaration made by Mr. Deoras, attesting to and sufficiently explaining these facts. Ex. 2015. In addition, Mr. Deoras acknowledges his understanding that he “agree[s] to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).” *Id.* at 4.

Upon consideration, Patent Owner has demonstrated sufficiently that Mr. Deoras has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion seeking admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Akshay S. Deoras is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding; Mr. Deoras is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must submit a Power of Attorney for Akshay S. Deoras in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Akshay S. Deoras as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Deoras shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update, 83 Fed. Reg. 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R., and is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq* and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

IPR2018-00176
Patent 6,591,111 B1

For PETITIONER:

Todd R. Tucker
Mark W. McDougall
Joshua A. Friedman
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD LLP
ttucker@calfee.com
mmcdougall@calfee.com
jfriedman@calfee.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Jon R. Carter
Eugene Goryunov
Akshay S. Deoras
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
carterj@kirkland.com
egoryunov@kirkland.com
akshay.deoras@kirkland.com