

## Contents

I.	Expert background	1
II.	Materials considered	2
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	3
IV.	Term constructions	3
V.	Technical background	4
V.A.	“Direct conversion”	4
V.B.	“Reduced intersymbol interference coding”	6
V.B.1.	Discussion of “intersymbol interference”	6
V.B.2.	Discussion of “coding”	10
V.B.3.	Discussion of “signal detection error”	10
V.C.	Interleaving	11
V.D.	“Differential phase shift keying (DPSK)”	13
VI.	Continuity	15
VI.A.	“Direct conversion module”	15
VI.A.1.	“Direct conversion module” in the ’258 patent claims	15
VI.A.2.	“Direct conversion module” in the 2003 application	16
VI.B.	“Reduced intersymbol interference coding” and “encode ... to reduce intersymbol interference”	16
VI.B.1.	“Reduced intersymbol interference coding” in the ’258 patent claims	16
VI.B.2.	“Reduced intersymbol interference coding” in the 2003 application	17
VI.C.	“Differential phase shift keying (DPSK)”	18
VI.C.1.	“DPSK” in the ’258 patent claims	18
VI.C.2.	“DPSK” in the 2003 application	18
VII.	The ’196 Publication	19
VII.A.	Packet	19
VII.A.1.	“Packet” in the ’258 patent claims	19
VII.A.2.	“Packet” in the ’196 publication	20
VII.A.2.1.	Signal detection, timing and synchronization	20
VII.A.2.2.	Interleaving	21
VII.A.2.3.	The ’196 publication disclosure makes use of packets obvious	21
VII.B.	“Virtually free from interference”	23

VII.B.1. “Virtually free from interference” in the ’258 patent claims	23
VII.B.2. “Virtually free from interference” in the ’196 publication	24
VIII. Conclusion	25
Attachment 1: John Moring CV	26

1. I, John Moring, hereby declare:

2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness in a legal proceeding in the United States, or elsewhere, could and would testify competently thereto. All statements made herein on my personal knowledge are true, and those statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

3. I have been asked to address and offer opinions on the technology claimed in U.S. Patent No. 7,865,258 B2 ("the '258 patent").

4. I am being compensated at my customary hourly rate for the time spent on developing, forming, and expressing the facts and opinions in this declaration. I have no personal interest in the ultimate outcome of any related proceedings.

#### **I. Expert background**

5. I earned my Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering in 1981 from the University of Cincinnati, with specialization in computers and communications. I earned my Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering in 1983 from the University of Southern California (as a Hughes Fellow), with specialization in communications and signal processing. I have worked in the field continuously since 1981.

6. In the early 1980s, I developed and simulated algorithms for advanced portable military wireless networks at Hughes Aircraft. In the late 1980s, I developed and fielded Internet hardware and applications for military use while at TRW. In the early 1990s, I developed standards and products for dynamic management of satellite communication systems at Titan Linkabit. In the mid-1990s, I contributed to the first cellular Internet products, and related projects at Pacific Communication Sciences, Inc.

7. Since 1997 I have consulted in the field full time. Projects are too numerous to list, but include working with wireless location technologies from the late 1990s, including designing and overseeing some of the first field trials of handset location technologies (including GPS) for cellular carriers, and contributing to the

standards that described operation of that equipment. I have worked a number of projects involving Bluetooth technology, notably consulting to the Bluetooth Special Interest Group continuously from 2000 through 2007. In this role I supported the qualification and testing efforts and reviewed the specifications released in this period.

8. More recent projects include authoring standards for, and otherwise supporting development of, wireless communications for future intelligent highway deployments.

9. I have taught communications courses for the University of Wisconsin-Madison and the University of California-San Diego. I have presented at major technical conferences and contributed to texts in the field. I have thirteen US patents granted in my name, with others pending in the US and internationally. Please see Attachment 1 for a complete CV.

## **II. Materials considered**

10. In the course of developing this declaration, I examined the following materials.

- Appl. No.: 10/027,391 (“the 2001 application”) as originally filed, published as Pub. No. US 2003/0118196 A1 (“the ’196 publication”)
- Appl. No.: 10/648,012 (“the 2003 application”) as originally filed
- U.S. Patent No. 7,865,258 B2 (“the ’258 patent”)
- Order No. 12 Construing Terms of the Asserted Patents, Inv. No. 337-TA-943, July 24, 2015 (“ITC claim constructions”)
- Judgment on Appeal from the United States International Trade Commission in Investigation No. 337-TA-943, June 12, 2017 (“Federal Circuit Opinion”)

### III. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art

11. The order containing the ITC claim constructions includes a ruling that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering or a related field, and around two years of experience in the design or implementation of wireless communications systems, or the equivalent, or six years of experience in the design or implementation of wireless communications systems, or the equivalent. My education and experience levels exceed these criteria, and did so throughout the time of the applications. Through my career, I have associated with hundreds – perhaps thousands - of engineers meeting these criteria, including co-workers and colleagues, students and clients, and am very familiar with the level of knowledge of those meeting this standard.

### IV. Term constructions

12. In my analysis I used the ITC claim constructions for certain terms as stated in Order No. 12:

Term	Construction
“reduced intersymbol interference coding” (cl. 3, 4, 8, 10, 11)	“coding that reduces intersymbol interference”
“configured for independent code division multiple access (CDMA) communication operation” (cl. 3, 4, 8, 10, 11)	“configured for code division multiple access (CDMA) communication operation performed independent of any central control”
“unique user code” / “unique user code bit sequence” (cl. 3, 4, 8, 10, 11)	“fixed code (bit sequence) specifically associated with one user of a device(s)”
“direct conversion module” (cl. 3, 4, 8, 10, 11)	“a module for converting radio frequency to baseband or very near baseband in a single frequency conversion without an intermediate frequency”

13. Based on the Federal Circuit decision, I have been directed to use in my analysis the following meaning for the claim elements containing the phrase “virtually free from interference ....”

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.