UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioner,

v.

POZEN INC. and HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC., Patent Owners.

Case IPR2018-00272 Patent 9,393,208

PATENT OWNERS POZEN INC. AND HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC.'S PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	PETITIONER HAS NOT ESTABLISHED THAT THE '285 PATENT IS § 102(e) PRIOR ART		
	A.	Legal Standard	6
	В.	Petitioner Has Not Met Its Burden to Show That the '285 Patent Is § 102(e) Prior Art	7
III.	THE	PTO HAS PREVIOUSLY REJECTED SUBSTANTIALLY SAME ARGUMENTS DURING PROSECUTION OF THE ENT '698 PATENT	8
	A.	Institution of an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Discretionary	9
	В.	Petitioner Has Failed to Explain Why the Board Should Reconsider Obviousness Over a Plachetka Patent	10
IV.	CON	ICLUSION	11



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Cases
Apple Inc. v. Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG, IPR2016-01841, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 17, 2017) 9
Applied Materials, Inc. v. Gemini Research Corp., 835 F.2d 279 (Fed. Cir. 1988)
Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Illumina, Inc., IPR2014-01093, Paper 69 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 7, 2016)
<i>In re DeBaun</i> , 687 F.2d 459 (C.C.P.A. 1982)
Riverwood Int'l Corp. v. R.A. Jones & Co., 324 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2003)
Robert Bosch Tool Corp. v. SD3, LLC, IPR2016-01750, Paper 15 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 3, 2017)6
Unified Patents Inc. v. Berman, IPR2016-01571, Paper 10 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 14, 2016)
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 3131
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)5
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)
Other Authorities
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(a), (b)9



EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
2001	Gabriel, S.E., et al., "Risk for Serious Gastrointestinal Complications Related to Use of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs," Annals of Internal Medicine, Vol. 115, No. 10, pp. 787- 796 (1991) ("Gabriel")
2002	Cryer, B. and Feldman, M., "Effects of Nonsteroidal Anti- inflammatory Drugs on Endogenous Gastrointestinal Prostaglandins and Therapeutic Strategies for Prevention and Treatment of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug-Induced Damage," Archives of Internal Medicine, Vol. 152, pp. 1145- 1155 (1992) ("Cryer")
2003	Fries, J.F., et al., "Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug-Associated Gastropathy: Incidence and Risk Factor Models," The American Journal of Medicine, Vol. 91, pp. 213-222 (1991) ("Fries")
2004	U.S. Patent No. 9,220,698 Prosecution History Excerpt: Response to Final Office Action Mailed March 26, 2015 (Sept. 25, 2015)
2005	U.S. Patent No. 9,220,698 Prosecution History Excerpt: Advisory Action (Oct. 9, 2015)



Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. and Pozen Inc. (hereinafter, "Patent Owner") respectfully submit this preliminary response under 35 U.S.C. § 313 to Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s (hereinafter, "Petitioner") request for inter partes review ("IPR") of claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 9,393,208 ("the '208 patent"). Petitioner's Petition fails to demonstrate a reasonable likelihood that claims 1-7 of the '208 patent are unpatentable. Each of the three proposed grounds includes U.S. Patent No. 8,557,285 ("the '285 patent") and Petitioner has not established that the '285 patent is § 102(e) prior art to the '208 patent. Petitioner therefore has not shown that there is a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail with respect to any of the challenged claims and institution should be denied.

I. INTRODUCTION

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or NSAIDs, have long been used for the management of inflammatory conditions including osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and other musculoskeletal conditions. (Ex. 2001 at 787.) In fact, NSAIDs are one of the most widely used medicines in the world. (*Id.*) But NSAID use has long been known to increase the risk of serious damage to the gastrointestinal track, such as ulcers and bleeding. (*Id.*) This is believed to be the case because NSAIDs inhibit prostaglandin synthesis, which in turn, leads to toxic gastrointestinal effects. (Ex. 2002 at 1145.) The use of NSAIDs is recognized as causing the most prevalent serious drug toxicity in the United States, resulting in an estimated 2,600 deaths and



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

