

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SNAP INC.,
Petitioner

v.

VAPORSTREAM, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-00312
Patent 9,306,885 B2

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF THE '885 PATENT 4

III. OVERVIEW OF PETITIONER'S REFERENCES 9

 A. Namias (Grounds 1 and 2)..... 9

 B. PC Magazine (Grounds 1 and 2) 12

 C. Saffer (Ground 1) 13

 D. Smith (Ground 1)..... 18

 E. RFC 2821 (Ground 2)..... 19

 F. Hazel (Ground 2)..... 21

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 22

 A. Message Content Including a Media Component 22

 B. Correlation..... 25

V. THE PETITION FAILS TO ESTABLISH THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE..... 26

 A. Petitioner's Ground 1 References Do Not Render Obvious the Challenged Claims..... 26

 1. Petitioner Has Not Provided An Apparent Reason To Combine The References 26

 2. Petitioner Has Not Considered The References As A Whole, But Has Cherry-Picked Certain Aspects Using Improper Hindsight..... 31

 3. The Ground 1 Combination Fails to Teach or Suggest Separate Transmissions 39

 4. Claim 1 Separately Requires that the Message Content Must be Transmitted from the Sender Separately From the Header Information. 45

5. The Ground 1 Combination Fails to Teach or Suggest Separate Displays.	47
B. Petitioner’s Ground 2 References Do Not Render Obvious the Challenged Claims.....	50
1. The Ground 2 Combination Fails to Teach or Suggest a Correlation.	50
2. The Ground 2 Combination Fails to Teach or Suggest Separate Transmissions.	52
a. Petitioner Misconstrues SMTP to Argue Message Content Is Sent Separately From a Recipient Address	53
b. Petitioner’s Fall-Back Position Relies on Improper Hindsight.....	57
VI. CONCLUSION	63

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Aqua Prods., Inc. v. Matal,
872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... 24

CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l Corp.,
349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... 39

Genzyme Therapeutic Prods. Ltd. Partnership v. Biomarin Pharm. Inc.,
825 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 22, 23

In re Cortright,
165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999)..... 23

In re Mouttet,
686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 38

In re NTP, Inc.,
654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 23

In re Nuvasive, Inc.
842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 61

In re Rothermel,
276 F.2d 393 (CCPA 1960)..... 32

In re Wesslau,
353 F.2d 238 (C.C.P.A.1965)..... 32

InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Communications, Inc.
751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 61

Knowles Elecs. LLC v. Iancu,
886 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 22

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.
550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... 4, 27, 58, 61

Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.,
789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 23

Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.,
810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987)..... 32, 63

Pers. Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.,
848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... 34, 61

Polaris Industries, Inc. v. Arctic Cat, Inc.,
2018 WL 797462 (Fed. Cir. 2018)..... 34

Other Authorities

35 U.S.C. § 316(e) 26

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.