Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Inventor: Christine Halverson <i>et al.</i> :	
Filed: June 30, 2000 :	
Issued: June 10, 2004 : IPR No.: Unassigned	
Assignee: IPA Technologies Inc.	
Title: Mobile Navigation of Network-Based Electronic Information Spoken Input	using

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Submitted Electronically via the Patent Trial and Appeal Board End to End System

# PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-4, 6, 8-9, 10-13, 15, 17-18, 19-22, 24, AND 26-27 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,718 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100 *ET SEQ*.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES, STANDING, AND FEES	7
II. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	9
A. Publications Relied Upon	9
B. Grounds for Challenge	15
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '718 PATENT	15
A. Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter	15
B. Prosecution History of the '718 Patent	18
C. Priority	19
IV. SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART AND REFERENCES RELIED ON	19
A. Brief Summary of Kupiec (Ex. 1013)	19
B. Brief Summary of Cheyer (Ex. 1019)	23
C. Brief Summary of Kimura (Ex. 1015)	24
D. Brief Summary of Freeman (Ex. 1014)	25
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	26
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	26
B. Preambles of independent claims 1, 10, and 19 are limiting	26
C. "navigation query" (Claims 1, 4, 10, 13, 19, and 22)	27
D. "mobile information appliance" (Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12, 17, 19, 21, a	und 26)28
E. "mobile information appliance comprises a portable remote contro or a set-top box for a television" (Claims 1, 10, and 19)	
VI. A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD EXISTS THAT THE CHALLED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	
A. Ground 1: The '718 Patent Claims 1-4, 6, 8-9, 10-13, 15, 17-18, 19 and 26-27 are obvious over Kupiec (Ex. 1013) in view of Cheyer (Ex. 10	
1. Independent Claim 1	30
2. Dependent Claims 2-4, 6, and 8-9	
3. Independent Claim 10.	49
4. Dependent Claims 11-13, 15, and 17-18	51
5. Independent Claim 19.	54

6.	Dependent Claims 20-22, 24, and 26-27	57
and 2	Ground 2: The '718 Patent Claims 1-4, 6, 8-9, 10-13, 15, 17-18, 19 26-27 are obvious over <i>Kupiec (Ex. 1013)</i> in view of <i>Cheyer (Ex. 1</i> ) other view of <i>Kimura (Ex. 1015)</i>	019) and
1.	Independent Claim 1.	60
2.	Dependent Claims 2 & 3.	62
3.	Dependent Claims 4, 6, 8-9	64
4.	Independent Claim 10.	64
5.	Dependent Claim 12	64
6.	Dependent Claims 11, 13, 15, and 17-18.	64
7.	Independent Claim 19.	65
8.	Dependent Claim 21	65
9.	Dependent Claims 20, 22, 24, and 26-27	65
	Ground 3: Claims 6, 15, and 24 are obvious over Kupiec in view of ther view of Freeman	2
1.	Dependent Claim 6	66
2.	Dependent Claim 15	68
3.	Dependent Claim 24	69
VII. C	CONCLUSION	69

#### LIST OF EXHIBITS

1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,742,021 by Christine Halverson, Luc Julia, Dimitris Voutsas, and Aden J. Cheyer, entitled "Navigating Network-Based Electronic Information Using Spoken Input with Multimodal Error Feedback"
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,742,021
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718 by Christine Halverson, Luc Julia, Dimitris Voutsas, and Adam Cheyer, entitled "Mobile Navigation of Network- Based Electronic Information Using Spoken Input"
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,523,061 by Christine Halverson, Luc Julia, Dimitris Voutsas, and Adam Cheyer, entitled "System, Method, and Article of Manufacture for Agent-Based Navigation in a Speech-Based Data Navigation System"
1006	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,523,061
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,851,115 by Christine Halverson, Luc Julia, Dimitris Voutsas, and Adam Cheyer, entitled "Software-Based Architecture for Communication and Cooperation Among Distributed Electronic Agents"
1008	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,851,115
1009	File History for U.S. Patent Application No. 60/124,720
1010	File History for U.S. Patent Application No. 60/124,719
1011	File History for U.S. Patent Application No. 60/124,718
1012	Declaration of Dr. Kevin Negus
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,500,920 by Julian M. Kupiec, entitled "Semantic Co-ocurrence Filtering for Speech Recognition and Signal Transcription Applications" (" <i>Kupiec</i> ")
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,006,227 by Eric Freeman <i>et al.</i> , entitled "Document Stream Operating System" (" <i>Freeman</i> ")

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

1015	U.S. Patent No. 5,247,580 by Toshiyuki Kimura et al., entitled "Voice-operated remote control system" (" <i>Kimura</i> ")
1016	Complaint, <i>IPA Technologies Inc. v. DISH Network Corp. et al.</i> , No. 1:16-cv-01170 (D. Del.) ("District Court Litigation")
1017	Proof of Service of Complaint on DISH Network L.L.C. and DISH Network Corporation
1018	Source Code Appendix to U.S. Patent No. 5,500,920 by Julian M. Kupiec
1019	Non-patent literature publication by Adam J. Cheyer and Luc Julia, two of the named inventors on the '061 Patent, entitled "Multimodal Maps: An Agent-based Approach" ("Cheyer"), first published on May 26, 1995.
1020	Non-patent literature publication by Sankyu Park et. al, citing Cheyer article, entitled "A Framework for Multi-Agent Systems with Multi-modal User Interfaces in Distributed Computing Environments," published in 1997.
1021	Non-patent literature publication by Yi Han and Ingrid Zukerman, citing Cheyer article, entitled "A Mechanism for Multimodal Presentation Planning Based on Agent Cooperation and Negotiation," published in 1997.
1022	Non-patent literature publication by Andrew Kehler et al., citing Cheyer article, entitled "On Representing Salience and Reference in Multimodal Human-Computer Interaction," published in 1998.
1023	Non-patent literature publication by Philip Cohen et al., citing Cheyer article, entitled "QuickSet: Multimodal Interaction for Distributed Applications," published in 1997.
1024	Non-patent literature publication by Jean-Claude Martin, citing Cheyer article, entitled "Towards 'intelligent' cooperation between modalities. The example of a system enabling multimodal interaction with a map," published in 1997.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.