

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE LLC  
Petitioner

v.

IPA TECHNOLOGIES INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Patent No. 6,757,718

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,718**

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent No. 6,757,718

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	1
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES .....	2
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	2
V.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND GROUNDS RAISED.....	2
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL.....	5
VII.	OVERVIEW OF THE '718 PATENT AND THE PRIOR ART.....	6
	A.    The '718 Patent .....	6
	B.    Prosecution History of the '718 Patent .....	7
	C.    The Prior Art .....	8
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	10
	A.    “Navigation Query” .....	11
	B.    “Code Segment [That]” and “Logic[,] Operable To” .....	12
IX.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....	16
	A.    Ground 1: <i>Cheyer, Shwartz, and Thrift</i> Render Obvious Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12, 13, 15, 17-19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27.....	17
	1.    Claim 1 .....	17
	2.    Claims 2 and 3.....	38
	3.    Claim 4 .....	41
	4.    Claim 6 .....	44
	5.    Claims 8, 9 .....	45
	6.    Claim 10 .....	46
	7.    Claim 12 .....	50
	8.    Claim 13 .....	51
	9.    Claim 15 .....	52
	10.    Claims 17, 18 .....	52
	11.    Claim 19 .....	52
	12.    Claim 21 .....	57

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent No. 6,757,718

13.	Claim 22 .....	57
14.	Claim 24 .....	58
15.	Claims 26, 27 .....	59
B.	Ground 2: <i>Cheyer, Shwartz, Thrift, and Dureau</i> Render Obvious Claims 2, 11, and 20 .....	59
1.	Claim 2 .....	59
2.	Claims 11, 20 .....	62
C.	Ground 3: <i>Cheyer, Shwartz, Thrift, and Johnson</i> Render Obvious Claims 4, 13, and 22 .....	62
1.	Claim 4 .....	63
2.	Claim 13 .....	69
3.	Claim 22 .....	69
D.	Ground 4: <i>Cheyer, Shwartz, Thrift, and Simmers</i> Render Obvious Claims 5, 7, 14, 16, 23, and 25 .....	69
1.	Claims 5, 7 .....	69
2.	Claims 14, 16, 23, 25 .....	73
X.	IPR SHOULD BE INSTITUTED ON ALL GROUNDS .....	73
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	74

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent No. 6,757,718

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2Learn, Inc.</i> , 574 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009) .....	16
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc., v. AIP Acquisition, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00247, Paper No. 20 (July 10, 2014) .....	10
<i>Default Proof Credit Card Sys., Inc. v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 412 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	15
<i>Gracenote, Inc. v. Iceberg Indus., LLC</i> , IPR2013-00551, Paper No. 6 (Feb. 28, 2014) .....	15
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)..... <i>passim</i>	
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	10
<i>SDI Techs., Inc. v. Bose Corp.</i> , IPR2014-00343, Paper No. 32 (June 11, 2015).....	4
<i>Toyota Motor Corp. v. Cellport Systems, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-00633, Paper No. 11 (Aug. 14, 2015) .....	10
<i>Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. &amp; Eng'g, Inc.</i> , 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	10
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	15, 16
<b>Federal Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....	4, 5
35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	4, 5

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent No. 6,757,718

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	2
35 U.S.C. § 112 .....	<i>passim</i>
<b>Regulations</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	12, 16

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.