

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 7,934,843)
Issued: May 3, 2011)
Application No.: 12/851,045)

For: **Exterior Sideview Mirror System**

FILED VIA E2E

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,934,843**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. Mandatory Notices under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....2

 A. Real Parties-In-Interest.....2

 B. Related Matters.....3

 C. Grounds For Standing3

 D. Lead And Backup Counsel And Service Information3

 E. Fee For *Inter Partes* Review4

III. Identification of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)).....4

IV. Background.....5

 A. The '843 Patent (Ex. 1001)5

 B. The Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art (“POSA”).....6

 C. Claim Construction6

 1. “side-by-side”.....7

V. Magna’s ’026 Publication Is Prior Art Under §§ 102(a) And (e)8

VI. The ’843 Patent Is Entitled To An Effective Filing Date No Earlier Than August 5, 2010, So The ’026 Publication Is Also § 102(b) Prior Art.....9

 A. Background Law10

 B. Background Facts.....11

 1. Magna previously prosecuted, and abandoned, a two-mirror design in the ’712 patent family11

 2. Magna impermissibly used the ’843 patent’s application to revive prosecution of the abandoned ’712 patent family11

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 3. | Magna’s defective attempt to antedate the prior art and effectively claim priority to the ’712 patent family..... | 14 |
| 4. | The examiner legally erred by finding the declaration sufficient to antedate the prior-art ’026 publication | 19 |
| C. | The ’843 Patent Is Not Entitled to The Filing Date Of Earlier-Filed Applications Because The Relevant Portions Of The ’451 And ’712 Patents Were Not Incorporated By Reference..... | 22 |
| D. | The ’843 Patent’s Claims Are Not Supported By Its Parent ’666 Application Regardless Of The Extent Of Incorporation By Reference..... | 26 |
| 1. | The written description requirement in 35 U.S.C. § 120..... | 26 |
| 2. | The ’666 application lacks written description support for the ’843 patent’s claims even if the ’712 and ’451 patents were incorporated in their entireties | 27 |
| VII. | Ground 1: Claims 1-23 and 27-29 Are Anticipated By The ’026 Publication (Ex. 1011)..... | 35 |
| A. | Claim 1 | 36 |
| 1. | Preamble, [a], [b] Exterior sideview mirror assembly..... | 37 |
| 2. | [d] Plano-auxiliary assembly | 37 |
| 3. | [q] Reflective element substrates | 38 |
| 4. | [e], [i] Mounted adjacently and outboard | 38 |
| 5. | [c] Electrically-operated actuator..... | 39 |
| 6. | [f] Backing plate mounted to actuator | 40 |
| 7. | [h], [k], [l], [m] Support portions and capable of supporting..... | 40 |
| 8. | [j] Polymeric substrate | 41 |
| 9. | [g], [p] Planar and auxiliary mirror fields of view, blind spot..... | 41 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 10. | [n] Different, angled rearward field of view | 41 |
| 11. | [o] Angled second support portion | 42 |
| B. | Claim 2: Generally Coplanar..... | 42 |
| C. | Claims 3 And 13: Backing Plate Partition/Wall | 42 |
| D. | Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, And 12..... | 43 |
| 1. | Claim 4: demarcation element | 43 |
| 2. | Claims 5 and 6: dark color | 43 |
| 3. | Claims 7 and 8: polymeric | 43 |
| 4. | Claim 9: three-degree angle | 43 |
| 5. | Claims 10 and 11: fills the gap | 44 |
| 6. | Claim 12: demarcation wall..... | 44 |
| E. | Claim 16: Planar Mirror Attached To Backing Plate..... | 44 |
| F. | Claims 17, 18, 19, 30, and 31 – Multiradius, Spheric, And Aspheric Shapes | 44 |
| G. | Claims 35 And 20-23 | 45 |
| 1. | Claims 20 and 35: substrate with metallic coating | 45 |
| 2. | Claims 21 and 22: curved backing plate..... | 45 |
| 3. | Claim 23 – demarcation wall | 45 |
| H. | Claim 27: Auxiliary Mirror Heater | 45 |
| I. | Claims 14, 15, 28, 29, And 34..... | 46 |
| 1. | Claims 14 and 15: outwardly and/or downwardly..... | 46 |
| 2. | Claims 28 and 29: subtended angle | 46 |
| 3. | Claim 34: 1 to 24 feet behind..... | 46 |

J. Claims 32 And 33: Relative Sizes.....46

K. Claims 36-3947

VIII. Ground 2: Claims 1 And 24-26 Would Have Been Obvious Over The Combination Of The '026 Publication And The '011 Publication (Ex. 1036).....47

A. Claim 147

B. Claims 24 And 25.....48

C. Claim 2648

D. Motivation To Combine48

IX. Ground 3: Claims 1, 15 And 34 Would Have Been Obvious Over Henion (Ex. 1012), Platzer (Ex. 1013), And Catlin (Ex. 1034).....49

A. Overview49

B. The Asserted Prior Art50

1. International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (Ex. 1012, “Henion”).....50

2. International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (Ex. 1013, “Platzer”).....50

3. U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (Ex. 1034, “Catlin”).....51

C. Motivation To Combine51

D. Claim 152

1. Preamble, [a], [b] exterior sideview mirror assembly52

2. [d] Plano-auxiliary assembly53

3. [q] Reflective element substrates54

4. [e] Mounted adjacently, side-by-side, and not superimposed55

5. [i] Auxiliary mirror outboard.....57

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.