

Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 7,934,843

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 7,934,843)
Issued: May 3, 2011)
Application No.: 12/851,045)

For: **Exterior Sideview Mirror System**

FILED VIA E2E

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE SASIAN IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,934,843**

Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 7,934,843

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	4
II.	SUMMARY OF MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED	7
III.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND PERSPECTIVE APPLIED IN THIS DECLARATION.....	9
IV.	BACKGROUND LAW	10
	A. Written Description	10
	B. Incorporation By Reference	11
	C. Understanding Of Legal Principles Relevant To Anticipation And Obviousness.....	11
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS.....	14
VI.	THE '843 PATENT.....	15
	A. The '843 Patent's Disclosure	15
	B. Application For The '843 Patent.....	16
VII.	THE '666 APPLICATION LACKS WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT FOR CLAIM 1 OF THE '843 PATENT	17
	A. A POSA Would Not Understand The '843 Patent Family To Incorporate With Particularity The Two-Mirror Assembly Of The '712 And '451 Patent Family.....	23
	B. A POSA Would Not Understand The '666 Application To Support The '843 Patent's Claims Even If The '451 And '712 Patents Were Fully Incorporated By Reference.....	29
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	40
	A. "side-by-side"	40
IX.	THE PRIOR ART AND BACKGROUND EVIDENCE.....	42
	A. Prior Art Relied Upon For Anticipation.....	42
	B. Prior Art Relied Upon For Obviousness Combinations.....	42
	1. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0264011 ("the '011 publication")	42

Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 7,934,843

2.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (“Henion”)	44
3.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (“Platzer”).....	45
4.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (“Catlin”)	46
5.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,793,542 (“Kondo”)	46
C.	Background Evidence	47
X.	CLAIMS 1-23 AND 27-39 OF THE ’843 PATENT ARE ANTICIPATED BY THE ’026 PUBLICATION	48
A.	Claim 1	49
1.	Preamble, [a], [b] Exterior sideview mirror assembly.....	49
2.	[d] Plano-auxiliary assembly	50
3.	[q] Reflective Element Substrates.....	52
4.	[e], [i] Mounted adjacently and outboard	52
5.	[c] Electrically-operated actuator.....	55
6.	[f] Backing plate mounted to actuator	56
7.	[h], [k], [l], [m] Support portions and capable of supporting.....	58
8.	[j] Polymeric substrate	60
9.	[g], [p] Planar and auxiliary mirror fields of view, blind spot.....	61
10.	[n] Different, angled rearward field of view	62
11.	[o] Angled second support portion	64
B.	Claim 2 – Generally Coplanar.....	65
C.	Claims 3 and 13 – Backing Plate Partition/Wall.....	66
D.	Claims 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12.....	68
E.	Claim 16	74
F.	Claims 17, 18, 19, 30, and 31	75
G.	Claims 35 and 20-23.....	77
H.	Claim 27	81
I.	Claims 14, 15, 28, 29, and 34.....	82
J.	Claims 32 and 33.....	86
K.	Claims 36-39	86
XI.	CLAIMS 1 AND 24-26 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF THE ’026 AND ’011 PUBLICATIONS.....	88
A.	Claim 1	88
B.	Claims 24 and 25.....	89
C.	Claim 26	94

Declaration of Dr. Jose Sasian In Support Of
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 7,934,843

D.	Motivation to Combine	96
XII.	CLAIMS 1, 15, AND 34 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER THE COMBINATION OF HENION, CATLIN, AND PLATZER	99
A.	Claim 1	99
1.	Preamble, [a], [b] Exterior sideview mirror assembly	99
2.	[d] Plano-auxiliary assembly	101
3.	[q] Reflective Element Substrates.....	102
4.	[e] Mounted Adjacently, Side-By-Side, And Not Superimposed.....	105
5.	[i] Auxiliary Mirror Outboard.....	108
6.	[c] Electrically-operated actuator.....	115
7.	[f] Backing plate mounted to actuator	117
8.	[h], [k], [l], [m] Support portions and capable of supporting.....	120
9.	[j] Polymeric substrate	122
10.	[g], [p] Planar and auxiliary mirror fields of view, blind spot.....	126
11.	[n] Different, angled rearward field of view	128
12.	[o] Angled second support portion	130
B.	Claim 15	131
C.	Claim 34	134
XIII.	CLAIM 33 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HENION, PLATZER, CATLIN, AND KONDO	146
XIV.	CONCLUSION.....	149

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. I have been retained by SMR Automotive Systems USA Inc. (“SMR”) to provide my opinion concerning the validity of U.S. Patent No. 7,934,843 (attached to the accompanying Petition and henceforth referred to as “the ‘843 patent”) in support of SMR’s Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of U.S. Patent No. 7,934,843.

2. I have extensive academic and industry experience with optical engineering. Specifically, I have over thirty years of academic and industry experience in the field of optical sciences and optical engineering in general, including optical instrumentation, optical design, and optical fabrication and testing.

3. I am currently a full-time, tenured Professor of Optical Sciences at the College of Optical Sciences at the University of Arizona in Tucson, Arizona, a position I have held since 2002. As a professor, I teach and perform research in the field of optical design. For example, I teach my students how to design lenses and mirrors and how to think about light so that they can design useful optical systems.

4. As part of my academic and research responsibilities I am frequently involved with the design, fabrication, and testing of optical devices. Prior to receiving tenure, I was an Associate Professor of Optical Sciences at the University of Arizona from 1995 to 2001. Prior to joining the University of Arizona faculty, I was a member of the technical staff of AT&T Bell Laboratories from 1990 to 1995.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.