

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of USP 8,783,882

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:                    )  
U.S. Patent No. 8,783,882                        )  
Issued: July 22, 2014                               )  
Application No.: 14/054,004                        )

For: **Extended Field of View Exterior Mirror Element For Vehicle**

**FILED VIA E2E**

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE SASIAN IN SUPPORT OF  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,783,882**

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,783,882

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS .....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED.....	4
III.	LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART AND PERSPECTIVE APPLIED IN THIS DECLARATION .....	7
IV.	BACKGROUND LAW .....	8
	A.    Written Description .....	8
	B.    Incorporation By Reference.....	8
	C.    Understanding Of Legal Principles Relevant To Anticipation And Obviousness .....	9
V.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS .....	11
VI.	THE '882 PATENT .....	12
	A.    The '882 Patent's Disclosure.....	13
	B.    Application For The '843 Patent .....	13
VII.	THE '666 APPLICATION LACKS WRITTEN DESCRIPTION SUPPORT FOR THE CLAIMS OF THE '882 PATENT.....	14
	A.    A POSA Would Not Understand The 666 Patent Application To Incorporate With Particularity The Two-Mirror Assembly Of The '712 And '451 Patent Family .....	21
	B.    A POSA Would Not Understand The '666 Application To Support The '882 Patent's Claims Even If The '451 And '712 Patents Were Fully Incorporated By Reference .....	27
	C.    The Claims Of The '882 Patent Are Directed To A Two- Mirror System .....	37
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	38
	A.    “side-by-side” .....	38
IX.	THE PRIOR ART AND BACKGROUND EVIDENCE.....	40
	A.    Prior Art Relied Upon For Anticipation.....	40

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,783,882

1.	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0072026 (“the ’026 publication”).....	40
B.	Prior Art Relied Upon For Obviousness Combinations.....	41
1.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/44013 (“Henion”) .....	41
2.	International Pub. No. WO 2001/81956 (“Platzer”) .....	42
3.	U.S. Pat. No. 5,721,646 (“Catlin”).....	42
4.	U.S. Pat. No. 6,984,048 (“Yamabe”) .....	43
5.	FR 2650982 (“Silvestre”).....	44
C.	Background Evidence.....	45
X.	THE Claims 1-20 OF THE ’882 ARE ANTICIPATED BY THE ’026 PUBLICATION.....	45
A.	Claim 1.....	46
1.	Preamble, [a], [b], [l] .....	46
2.	[c] A electrically-operated actuator.....	49
3.	[k] Backing plate mounted to actuator .....	50
4.	[d], [f] Support portions and capable of supporting .....	52
5.	[e], [h] mirror elements .....	55
6.	[g] Mounted adjacently .....	55
7.	[i], [j] Different and overlapping rearward fields of view and directed downwardly .....	59
8.	[n], [o] “overall rearward field of view” .....	60
9.	[m] Reflective Element Substrates .....	62
B.	Claims 2, 3, 7, 11, 14 .....	63
C.	Claim 4 - rearward field of view .....	70
D.	Claim 5 - tilting.....	73
E.	Claim 6 - blind spot .....	74
F.	Claim 8 – overlapping fields of view .....	75
G.	Claim 9 – fixed reflectance.....	76
H.	Claim 10 – attachment .....	77
I.	Claim 12 - heater element.....	78
J.	Claim 13 – metallic reflector coating types.....	79
K.	Claim 15.....	80
1.	Preamble, 15[a]-[f], [h], [j]-[q].....	80
2.	[g] Metallic Reflector .....	82
3.	[i] Matching curvature.....	83
L.	Claims 16-17.....	84
M.	Independent Claim 18.....	86

Declaration of Jose Sasian In Support Of  
Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of USP 8,783,882

1.	Preamble, 18[a]-[f], [h]-[p] .....	86
2.	[g] Fixed reflectance.....	89
N.	Claims 19-20.....	89
XI.	CLAIMS 1, 2, 3, 18, AND 20 WOULD HAVE BEEN OBVIOUS OVER HENION, PLATZER, CATLIN, SILVESTRE, AND YAMABE .....	91
A.	Claim 1.....	91
1.	Preamble, [a] Mirror Housing .....	91
2.	[b] Backing Plate .....	93
3.	[c] Actuator.....	94
4.	[d] Plano Mirror.....	95
5.	[f] Auxiliary Mirror .....	98
6.	[e], [h] Rearward Field of View .....	100
7.	[g] Mounted Adjacently, Side-By-Side, And Not Superimposed .....	102
8.	[i] Overlapping Fields of View .....	106
9.	[j] Angled.....	109
10.	[k] Common Actuator .....	111
11.	[l] Polymeric Molding .....	114
12.	[m] Reflective Element Substrate .....	117
13.	[n] At Least About 25 Degrees .....	120
14.	[o] Less Than About 50 Degrees.....	123
B.	Claim 2.....	124
1.	Preamble and [a] Convex-Curved Substrate, Reflector Coating .....	124
2.	[b] Convex-Curved Backing Plate .....	126
3.	[c] Spherical Curvature .....	127
C.	Claim 3.....	129
1.	Spherical Backing Plate.....	129
D.	Claim 18.....	130
1.	Preamble and [a]-[f], [h]-[i], [k]-[p].....	130
2.	[g] Fixed Reflectance .....	132
3.	[j] About 2 to 20 Degrees .....	134
E.	Claim 20.....	144
XII.	CONCLUSION .....	151

## I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. I have been retained by SMR Automotive Systems USA Inc. (“SMR”) to provide my opinion concerning the validity of U.S. Patent No. 8,783,882 (attached to the accompanying Petition and henceforth referred to as “the ‘882 patent”) in support of SMR’s Petition for *Inter Partes Review* of U.S. Patent No. 8,783,882.

2. I have extensive academic and industry experience with optical engineering. Specifically, I have over thirty years of academic and industry experience in the field of optical sciences and optical engineering in general, including optical instrumentation, optical design, and optical fabrication and testing.

3. I am currently a full-time, tenured Professor of Optical Sciences at the College of Optical Sciences at the University of Arizona in Tucson, Arizona, a position I have held since 2002. As a professor, I teach and perform research in the field of optical design. For example, I teach my students how to design lenses and mirrors and how to think about light so that they can design useful optical systems.

4. As part of my academic and research responsibilities I am frequently involved with the design, fabrication, and testing of optical devices. Prior to receiving tenure, I was an Associate Professor of Optical Sciences at the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.