

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE CHANBOND, LLC
PATENT LITIGATION

C.A. No. 15-842 (RGA)

CONSOLIDATED

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties hereto and subject to the approval of the Court, that the following deadlines are hereby extended as set forth in the attached Exhibit A.

BAYARD, P.A.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Stephen B. Braerman

/s/ Jennifer Ying

Stephen B. Braerman (#4952)
Sara E. Bussiere (#5725)
BAYARD, P.A.
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 655-5000
sbraerman@bayardlaw.com
sbussiere@bayardlaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff ChanBond, LLC

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Jennifer Ying (#5550)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
jying@mnat.com
Attorneys for Defendants

May 22, 2018

IT IS SO ORDERED this 23 day of May, 2018.



The Honorable Richard G. Andrews
United States District Judge

Exhibit A

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed New Deadline
Close of fact discovery ¹	June 1, 2018	July 6, 2018
Opening Expert Reports for party that bears burden of proof	July 2, 2018	August 8, 2018
Rebuttal Expert Reports	August 13, 2018	September 19, 2018
Reply Expert Reports	August 31, 2018	October 8, 2018
Close of Expert Discovery	September 28, 2018	November 7, 2018
Opening SJ/Daubert Briefs	October 19, 2018	December 1, 2018
Answering SJ/Daubert Briefs	November 19, 2018	January 4, 2018
Reply SJ/Daubert Briefs	December 7, 2018	January 25, 2019
Trial scheduling conference, with parties to submit a joint status report with their proposal(s) one week in advance. ²	October 31, 2018 @ 8:30 am	December 14, 2018 @ 9:00 am.
SJ/Daubert Hearing	TBA	March 8, 2019 at 9:00 am
Pre-trial Conference	TBA	TBA
First 5-day jury trial	TBA	TBA

¹ The parties agree that the close of fact discovery is extended for 30 days to complete already served discovery. The parties agree that no new discovery shall be served without the consent of the other party, except that the parties shall be permitted to serve new Requests for Admissions up through thirty days prior to the close of fact discovery. Nothing in this provision shall prevent a party from supplementing its response to any discovery request.

² Plaintiff intends to include a proposal regarding trial consolidation at least in view of identical accused products and common factual questions across defendants, per 35 U.S.C. § 299. Defendants believe consolidation for trial is not appropriate, for at least the reason that the parties cannot be properly consolidated under 35 U.S.C. § 299 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 20.