

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION AND JOHNS MANVILLE, INC.

Petitioners

v.

KNAUF INSULATION, INC. AND KNAUF INSULATION SPRL

Patent Owners

Case No. IPR2018-00827

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 9,828,287

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8(B)	1
A.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1
B.	RELATED MATTERS	1
C.	PAYMENT OF FEES	2
D.	DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL	2
E.	SERVICE INFORMATION	2
F.	POWER OF ATTORNEY	3
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW	3
A.	GROUND FOR STANDING	4
B.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	4
1.	Claims Challenged	4
2.	Prior Art	4
a.	Srinivasan Is Prior Art Under Pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(b)	4
b.	Worthington Is Prior Art Under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(b)	5
c.	Helbing Is Prior Art Under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(b)	5
d.	Gogek Is Prior Art Under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §102(b)	5
C.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	5
1.	Ground 1: Srinivasan in view of Worthington	5
2.	Ground 2: Srinivasan in view of Gogek	5
3.	Ground 3: Helbing in view of Worthington and Srinivasan	6
4.	Ground 4: Helbing in view of Gogek and Srinivasan	6
5.	Summary Table	6
6.	Overview of Challenged Claims	6

III.	OVERVIEW OF THE '287 PATENT	7
A.	PRIORITY DATE OF THE '287 PATENT	7
B.	SUMMARY OF THE '287 PATENT	7
C.	PROSECUTION HISTORY	8
D.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	10
E.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
	1. Claim Terms: “amine reactant” (claim 1).....	10
	2. Claim Terms: “the binder contains about 4 percent to about 5 percent nitrogen by mass as determined by elemental analysis” (claim 16)	13
	3. Other Terms	14
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS.....	14
A.	Obviousness.....	14
V.	FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	16
A.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-9 AND 16-17 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS OVER SRINIVASAN IN VIEW OF WORTHINGTON	16
	1. Summary of Srinivasan.....	16
	2. Summary of Worthington	16
	3. Overarching Reasons to Combine Srinivasan and Worthington	17
	4. Claim 1	19
	a. Limitation [1.1] “A thermal or acoustical fiberglass insulation material comprising:”	19
	b. Limitation [1.2] “(a) a collection of glass fibers; and” 20	
	c. Limitation [1.3] “(b) a binder disposed on the collection of glass fibers, wherein the binder comprises”	20
	d. Limitation [1.4] “i) at least one reaction product of a reducing sugar reactant and an amine reactant,”	20

e.	Limitation [1.5] "wherein the percent by dry weight of the reducing sugar reactant with respect to the total weight of reactants ranges from about 73% to about 96%,"	21
f.	Limitation [1.6] "ii) a silicon-containing coupling agent, and"	22
g.	Limitation [1.7] "iii) optionally, a corrosion inhibitor,"	22
h.	Limitation [1.8] "wherein the fiberglass material comprises less than 99% by weight and more than 75% by weight glass fibers,"	23
i.	Limitation [1.9] "and wherein the fiberglass material has a density of from about 0.4 lbs/ft ³ to about 6 lbs/ft ³	23
5.	Claim 2.....	24
a.	Limitation [2.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the amine reactant is the ammonium salt of a polycarboxylic acid."	24
6.	Claim 3.....	25
a.	Limitation [3.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the amine reactant is the ammonium salt of a monomeric polycarboxylic acid."	25
7.	Claim 4.....	26
a.	Limitation [4.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the density is from about 0.75 lbs/ft ³ to about 2.5 lbs/ft ³ ."	26
8.	Claim 5.....	27
a.	Limitation [5.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the density is from about 2.25 lbs/ft ³ to about 4.25 lbs/ft ³ ."	27
9.	Claim 6.....	28
a.	Limitation [6.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the density is from about 0.4 lbs/ft ³ to about 1.5 lbs/ft ³ ."	28
10.	Claim 7.....	29
a.	Limitation [7.1] "The fiberglass material of claim 1, wherein the density is from about 3.75 lbs/ft ³ to about 5.2 lbs/ft ³ ."	29
11.	Claim 8.....	30

a.	Limitation [8.1] “A thermal or acoustical fiberglass insulation material comprising:”	30
b.	Limitation [8.2] “(a) a collection of glass fibers; and”	30
c.	Limitation [8.3] “(b) a binder disposed on the collection of glass fibers, the binder comprising”	30
d.	Limitation [8.4] “i) a mixture of Maillard reactants” ..	30
e.	Limitation [8.5] “wherein the percent by dry weight of a reducing sugar reactant with respect to the total weight of reactants ranges from about 73% to about 96%,”	31
f.	Limitation [8.6] “ii) a silicon-containing coupling agent, and”	31
g.	Limitation [8.7] “iii) optionally, a corrosion inhibitor,”	31
h.	Limitation [8.8] “wherein the fiberglass material comprises less than 99% by weight and more than 75% by weight glass fibers,”	31
i.	Limitation [8.9] “and wherein the fiberglass material has a density in the range from about 0.4 lbs/ft ³ to about 6 lbs/ft ³ .”	32
12.	Claim 9.....	32
a.	Limitation [9.1] “A thermal or acoustical fiberglass insulation material comprising:”	32
b.	Limitation [9.2] “(a) a collection of glass fibers; and”	32
c.	Limitation [9.3] “(b) a binder disposed on the collection of glass fibers, wherein the binder comprises”	32
d.	Limitation [9.4] “i) at least one reaction product of a reducing sugar reactant and a polycarboxylic acid ammonium salt reactant,”	32
e.	Limitation [9.5] “wherein the percent by dry weight of the reducing sugar reactant with respect to the total weight of reactants ranges from about 73% to about 96%,”	32
f.	Limitation [9.6] “ii) a silicon-containing coupling agent, and”	32
g.	Limitation [9.7] “iii) optionally, a corrosion inhibitor,”	33
h.	Limitation [9.8] “wherein the fiberglass material comprises less than 99% by weight and more than 75% by weight glass fibers,”	33

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.