UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE _____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NAVISTAR, INC., Petitioner,

v.

FATIGUE FRACTURE TECHNOLOGY, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00853 Patent 7,143,915 B2

Record of Oral Hearing Held: June 11, 2019

Before LINDA E. HORNER, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD, and RICHARD H. MARSCHALL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

CRAIG D. LEAVELL, ESQ. MATTHEW M. KAMPS, ESQ. Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP 311 S. Wacker Drive Suite 4300 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 356-5106 (Leavell)

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

MEREDITH M. ADDY, ESQ. AddyHart, P.C. 10 Glenlake Parkway Suite 130 Atlanta, GA 30328 (312) 320-4200

ROBERT HART, ESQ. AddyHart, P.C. 401 N. Michigan Avenue Suite 1200-1 Chicago, IL 60611 (312) 834-7701

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, June 11, 2019, commencing at 10:00 a.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS				
2					
3	9:59 a.m.				
4	JUDGE HORNER: Good morning. We will hear argument now				
5	on Case Number IPR2018-00853. Navistar Incorporated versus Fatigue				
6	Fracture Technologies. This is concerning Patent 7,143,915.				
7	Counsel for the parties, please introduce yourselves, starting with				
8	Petitioner.				
9	MR. LEAVELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig Leavell on				
10	behalf of Navistar.				
11	MR. KAMPS: Good morning, Your Honor, it's Matt Kamps on				
12	behalf of Navistar.				
13	MS. ADDY: Good morning, Your Honor, Meredith Addy on behalf				
14	of Fatigue Fracture Technology.				
15	MR. HART: I'm Robert Hart on behalf of Fatigue Fracture				
16	Technology.				
17	JUDGE HORNER: Great. Thank you. And welcome to the court.				
18	Per our order of May 14th, each side will have 60 minutes to argue.				
19	The Petitioner bears the burden of proving unpatentability and so they'll be				
20	arguing first, and you may reserve rebuttal time, and patent owner may				
21	reserve sur-rebuttal time. Do wish to reserve rebuttal time?				
22	MR. LEAVELL: We do, Your Honor, 15 minutes, please.				
23	JUDGE HORNER: Fifteen minutes, okay. And would you wish to				
24	reserve sur-rebuttal time?				



1	MS. ADDY: Yes, Your Honor, ten minutes.			
2	JUDGE HORNER: Ten minutes, okay.			
3	Okay, this hearing is open to the public. We're going to have a full			
4	transcript made, and it will become part of the record. For clarity in the			
5	transcript, when you're referring to demonstrative slides, if you can just not			
6	the slide number for the record that would be very helpful.			
7	I'll remind you that demonstratives are not evidence but are aids to			
8	assist the panel. And we're aware of the pending motion Petitioner's			
9	pending motion to strike portions of the patent owner's sur-reply and			
10	exhibits. And we're also aware of the pending motions filed by each party			
11	on the motions to exclude and also objections to demonstratives.			
12	At this time, we're going to reserve ruling on those motions and			
13	objections, and we will allow discussion of the arguments and the exhibits			
14	and demonstratives here today. But ultimately, we will not consider them			
15	in our final written decision if we determine that the reliance on them would			
16	be improper.			
17	With that, I invite counsel for Petitioner to begin.			
18	MR. KAMPS: And we've got copies of our slides. I know we			
19	were supposed to bring one. I brought four if you'd like them. If you don't			
20	want them, fine.			
21	JUDGE HORNER: We have copies of the slides there.			
22	MR. KAMPS: Okay.			
23	(Off-microphone comments.)			



	MR.	KAMPS:	Good morning, Your Honors.	My name is Matt		
Kamps	and,	along with	Craig Leavell, lead counsel in t	his case working on		
behalf of Petitioner, Navistar, we respectfully request cancellation of the						
challen	ge cla	aims on the	'915 patent.			

We've already reserved rebuttal time, and you've already addressed that we have motions pending. So I'm not going to belabor any of these points here. I do want to step through a couple of these points very quickly, however.

Navistar's two pending motions before the Board, Papers 35 and 40, are Motions to Strike and Motion to Exclude, respectively. Just wanted to note that the first issue here, secondary considerations argument presented in FFT sur-reply, that issue's been mooted by stipulation of the parties.

The remaining two, however, may ripen before the Board, we have new claim construction arguments and newly filed sur-reply Exhibits 2051 and 2056. We respectfully request that those are stricken from the record as improper, untimely, prejudicial to Navistar in the contravention of this Board's decisions, and also the trial practice guidelines promulgated in 2018.

I do want to mention one thing that's in the vein of our Motion to Strike. And that's that this Board is going to hear today yet another new claim construction argument, which we know is improper at the oral argument stage. And I just wanted to tee that up for the Board.

FFT will present on Slides 9, 10, and 21, or at least those slides of its demonstratives, that now that the fatigue force is terminated while the part has considerable load bearing capacity. This is a new argument that was



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

