

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JOHNS MANVILLE CORPORATION AND JOHNS MANVILLE, INC.

Petitioners

v.

KNAUF INSULATION, INC. AND KNAUF INSULATION SPRL

Patent Owners

Case No. IPR2018-00863

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 9,464,207

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8(B)	1
A.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1
B.	RELATED MATTERS	1
C.	PAYMENT OF FEES	3
D.	DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL	3
E.	SERVICE INFORMATION	4
F.	POWER OF ATTORNEY	4
G.	STANDING.....	4
II.	INTRODUCTION	4
A.	The '207 Patent	5
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES.....	6
A.	Challenged Claims	6
B.	Statutory Grounds for Challenges.....	6
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7
V.	LEGAL STANDARD	7
VI.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
A.	“Consists Essentially Of”	8
VII.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....	13
A.	The '207 Patent and Related Patents.....	13
B.	Maillard Reactions	17
C.	Ground #1: Strauss in view of Tutin and Worthington.....	20
D.	Ground #2: Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19-21, 30-32, 44-52, 54, 56-61, 66-77 and 69-71 are Obvious over Strauss combined with Tutin and Gogek.....	53
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	70

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Atlas Powder Co. v. E.I. du Pont De Nemours & Co.,</i> 750 F.2d 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	9
<i>Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC v. Lee,</i> 136 S.Ct. 2131 2144-45 (2016)	8
<i>In re Herz,</i> 537 F.2d 549 (C.C.P.A. 1976)	8, 9
<i>Ineos USA LLC v. Berry Plastics Corp.,</i> 783 F.3d 865 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	28, 49, 52, 59
<i>In re Janakirama-Rao,</i> 317 F.2d 951 (C.C.P.A. 1963)	9, 11
<i>In Re: Knauf Insulation,</i> Fed. Cir. Nos. 2017-1317, -1323, -1324 (Fed. Cir. March 9, 2018)	1, 2
<i>Ex Parte Knauf Insulation GmbH,</i> Appeal 2017-004826 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 8, 2017)	34
<i>Knauf Insulation, Inc., Knauf Insulation GMBH, and Knauf Insulation SPRL v. Johns Manville Corporation and Johns Manville, Inc.,</i> Case.....	1
<i>Ex Parte Knauf Insulation, Inc.,</i> No. 2016-006369 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 30, 2016)	21
<i>Knauf Insulation, Inc. v. Rockwool Int'l A/S,</i> 680 Fed.Appx. 956 (Feb. 27, 2017).....	2, 9, 10, 31, 60
<i>Knauf Insulation v. Johns Manville Corp.,</i> Fed. Cir. No. 2017-1433 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 16, 2018).....	2
<i>Ex Parte Morozumi,</i> No. 2013-005195, 2015 WL 1537957 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 27, 2015)	10

<i>PPG Indus. v. Guardian Indus. Corp.,</i> 156 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	8, 11, 66, 69
<i>In re Peterson,</i> 315 F.3d at 1330	49, 52
<i>Plantronics, Inc. v. Aliph, Inc.,</i> 724 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	7, 8
<i>Ex Parte Rastegar,</i> No. 2014-009943, 2016 WL 5957910 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 12, 2016).....	11
<i>Riverwood Int'l Corp. v. R.A. Jones & Co.,</i> 324 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	30
<i>Rockwool Int'l A/S v. Knauf Insulation GmbH,</i> No. 2017-004826 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 8, 2017)	9
<i>Rockwool Int'l A/S v. Patent of Knauf Insulation LLC,</i> No. 2015-001824, 2015 WL 4607935 (P.T.A.B. July 30, 2015)	10
Statutes	
35 U.S.C.	9
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 103	6, 9
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	7
35 U.S.C. §312(a)(1).....	3
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. §42.8(B).....	1
37 C.F.R. §42.10(b)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.15	3
MPEP § 2111.03 III	9, 11

LIST OF EXHIBITS

1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,464,207
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,464,207
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,318,990 to Strauss
1004	U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0038017 by Tutin et al.
1005	U.S. Patent No. 3,513,001 to Worthington
1006	U.S. Patent No. 2,965,504 to Gogek
1007	Hodge JE. Chemistry of browning reactions in model systems. J Agric Food Chem. 1953;1(15):928–943
1008	Declaration of Dr. Frederick Hirsekorn
1009	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Frederick Hirsekorn
1010	U.S. Patent No. 8,114,210 to Hampson
1011	Excerpts of Patent Owner's Infringement Contentions in Related Litigation
1012	<i>Inter Partes</i> Reexamination Decision in Reexamination Control 95/000,672 and PTAB Appeal 2017-004826 (PTAB, Sept. 8, 2017) (regarding Patent No. 7,888,445)

...

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.