

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZSCALER, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

IPR2018-00929
Patent 6,285,658 B1

Before JEFFREY S. SMITH, BRYAN F. MOORE, and NEIL T. POWELL,
Administrative Patent Judges.

SMITH, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Petitioner's Motion for Admission
Pro Hac Vice of Jared Bobrow
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jared Bobrow in this proceeding. Paper 19 (“Motion”). The Motion is unopposed. The Motion is *granted*.

In its Motion, Petitioner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Bobrow during this proceeding because “Mr. Bobrow has been litigating patent cases for over 28 of those years,” and because “Mr. Bobrow has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.” Motion 2. The Motion includes a Declaration made by Mr. Bobrow, attesting to and sufficiently explaining these facts. Paper 20. In addition, Mr. Bobrow acknowledges his understanding that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.*

Upon consideration, Petitioner has demonstrated sufficiently that Mr. Bobrow has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s unopposed motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jared Bobrow is granted; Mr. Bobrow is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

IPR2018-00929
Patent 6,285,658 B1

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

IPR2018-00929
Patent 6,285,658 B1

PETITIONER:

Jeremy Jason Lang
Don Daybell
Jared Bobrow
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
PTABDocketJL2@orrick.com
D2DPTABDocket@orrick.com
PTABDocketJ3B3@orrick.com

PATENT OWNER:

Chad C. Walters
Kurt M. Pankratz
Bryan D. Parrish
Katherine A. Juffa
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
chad.walters@bakerbotts.com
kurt.pankratz@bakerbotts.com
bryan.parrish@bakerbotts.com
Katie.juffa@bakerbotts.com