

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY INTERACTIVE ENTERTAINMENT LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

TECHNO VIEW IP, INC.,
Exclusive Licensee of the Patent Owner.¹

IPR2018-01044 (Patent 7,666,096 B2)
IPR2018-01045 (Patent 8,206,218 B2)

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, PATRICK R. SCANLON, and
NORMAN H. BEAMER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SCHEDULING ORDER
and
GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ TD Vision Corporation S.A. de C.V is the Patent Owner. Paper 4 (IPR2018-01044), Paper 6 (IPR2018-01045).

IPR2018-01044 (Patent 7,666,096 B2)

IPR2018-01045 (Patent 8,206,218 B2)

A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. Request for an Initial Conference Call

Unless at least one of the parties requests otherwise, we will not conduct an initial conference call as described in the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,765–66 (Aug. 14, 2012). The parties *must request* an initial conference call if either party is aware of any conflicts or concerns with DUE DATES 6 or 7 set forth in the Appendix of this Scheduling Order. Any request for an initial conference call must be made no later than 25 days after the institution of trial.

2. Standing Procedure for Requests for Conference Calls

If the parties request a conference call, including an initial conference call, the parties must follow these procedures:

- a. Prior to requesting a conference call, the parties must confer in an effort to resolve any issue to be discussed with the Board, or be prepared to explain to the Board why such a conference was not possible.
- b. Parties may request a conference call by contacting the Board at the email address or telephone number listed above the caption of this Order. Requests via email are expected and preferred; requests via telephone should be reserved for time-critical circumstances. Requests by email must copy opposing counsel. Requests by telephone should include opposing counsel as practicable.
- c. The request must include a list of proposed issues and/or motions to be discussed during the call.

IPR2018-01044 (Patent 7,666,096 B2)

IPR2018-01045 (Patent 8,206,218 B2)

- d. The request may include a brief background discussion of the issue(s) and/or motion(s) to be discussed, but must not include arguments. Email correspondence between the parties and the Board is for administrative purposes only and is not a part of the record.
- e. The request must certify that the parties conferred in accordance with 2.a., and must indicate the result of the conference (e.g., whether the non-requesting party opposes or does not oppose the request).
- f. The request must include a list of dates and times when both parties are available for the call.

3. *Motions to Seal, Protective Orders, and Confidential Information*

Papers and exhibits filed with the Board are public unless designated as confidential when filed. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14. Papers and exhibits may be filed as confidential if filed with a motion to seal. *Id.* Those papers and exhibits will remain under seal provisionally until the Board renders its decision on the motion. *Id.* A motion to seal must include a proposed protective order, or must refer to a protective order already approved in the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a). A protective order does not exist in this proceeding unless the parties file one and the Board approves it. Board approval typically does not occur until its decision on a motion to seal, although the parties may request a conference call to seek approval prior to a decision on a motion to seal if the particular circumstances of the case so require.

Often, a party moves to seal confidential or protective order material of the opposing party. In this case, the opposing party is authorized to file a

IPR2018-01044 (Patent 7,666,096 B2)

IPR2018-01045 (Patent 8,206,218 B2)

response to the motion to seal to address why the motion to seal should be granted. Such a response is due within 7 days of the filing of the motion to seal and is subject to the same filing requirements (e.g., length, document format) as the motion to seal.

We encourage the parties to adopt the Board's default protective order if they conclude that a protective order is necessary. *See* Default Protective Order, Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, App. B (Aug. 14, 2012). If the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they must submit the proposed protective order jointly along with a marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders showing the differences; and the parties must explain why the proposed deviations from the default protective order are necessary.

The Board has a strong interest in the public availability of the proceedings. We advise the parties that redactions to documents filed in this proceeding should be limited to isolated passages consisting entirely of confidential information, and that the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions. We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order will likely become public if identified in a final written decision in this proceeding, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,761.

4. Discovery Disputes

The panel encourages parties to resolve disputes relating to discovery on their own and in accordance with the precepts set forth in 37 C.F.R.

IPR2018-01044 (Patent 7,666,096 B2)

IPR2018-01045 (Patent 8,206,218 B2)

§ 42.1(b). To the extent that a dispute arises between the parties requiring Board intervention, the parties are to follow the procedures for requesting a conference call set forth above.

5. Depositions

The parties are advised that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines.

37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

Whenever a party submits a deposition transcript as an exhibit in this proceeding, the submitting party shall file the full transcript of the deposition rather than excerpts of only those portions being cited.

6. Cross-Examination

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

- a. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).
- b. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id.*

7. Oral Argument

Requests for oral argument must comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a). To permit the Board sufficient time to schedule the oral argument, the parties may not stipulate to an extension of the request for oral argument beyond the date set forth in the Due Date Appendix. Unless the Board

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.