

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TOSHIBA CORPORATION, TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC  
COMPONENTS, INC., AND APRICORN,  
Petitioners

v.

SPEX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2018-01067

Patent No. 6,088,802

---

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,088,802

Mail Stop **Patent Board**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND RELIEF REQUESTED .....	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	1
III. PROPOSED GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY .....	1
A. Statutory Grounds for Challenge .....	2
B. Prior Art Offered for the Present Unpatentability Challenges .....	2
IV. BACKGROUND .....	3
A. Description of the '802 Patent .....	4
B. Technological Background.....	6
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	7
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	7
A. “defined interaction” (all Claims) and “interaction with a host computing device in a defined way” (Claims 38–39).....	8
B. “peripheral device” (all Claims).....	9
C. “security means for enabling one or more security operations to be performed on data” (Claims 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25) and “means for performing the one or more security operations” (Claim 39).....	10
D. “target means for enabling a defined interaction with a host computing device” (Claims 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25) .....	11
E. “means for enabling communication between the security means and the target means” (Claims 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25).....	12
F. “means for enabling communication with a host computing device” (Claims 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25).....	12

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
G. “means for operably connecting the security means and/or the target means to the host computing device in response to an instruction from the host computing device” (Claims 1–2, 6–7).....	13
H. “means for mediating communication of data between the host computing device and the target means so that the communicated data must first pass through the security means” (Claims 1–2, 11–12, 23).....	14
I. “means for providing to a host computing device, in response to a request from the host computing device for information regarding the type of the peripheral device, information regarding the function of the target means” (Claims 6–7, 23–25).....	14
J. “means for non-volatilely storing data” (Claims 2, 12, 25) .....	15
VII. THE PRIOR ART RENDERS OBVIOUS CLAIMS 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25, 38–39 OF THE ’802 PATENT.....	15
A. Cited Prior Art .....	16
1. Harari.....	16
2. PCMCIA System Architecture: 16-Bit PC Cards (1995) .....	19
3. Wang.....	22
4. Dumas.....	24
B. Ground 1: Claims 1–2, 6–7, 11–12, 23–25, and 38–39 are Rendered Obvious by Harari in View of PCMCIA System Architecture .....	25
1. Motivation to Combine Harari and PCMCIA System Architecture .....	27
2. Independent Claim 1 .....	28
3. Dependent Claim 2.....	45
4. Independent Claim 6 .....	46
5. Dependent Claim 7 .....	52
6. Independent Claim 11 .....	53
7. Dependent Claim 12.....	53
8. Independent Claim 23 .....	53
9. Independent Claim 24 .....	54

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	<u>Page</u>
10. Dependent Claim 25.....	54
11. Independent Claim 38 .....	55
12. Independent Claim 39 .....	57
C. Ground 2: Claims 1–2, 11–12, 23, and 39 are Rendered Obvious by Harari in View of Wang .....	59
1. Motivation to Combine Harari, Wang, and PCMCIA System Architecture .....	59
2. Wang Discloses Means for Mediating Communication of Data Between the Host Computing Device and the Target Means So That the Communicated Data Must First Pass Through the Security Means (Elements [1F], [11E], [23E], [39C]).....	61
D. Grounds 3-4: Claims 1–2, 11–12, 23, and 39 are Rendered Obvious for the Same Reasons as Grounds 1-2 when Considered Further in View of Dumas. ....	64
1. Motivation to Combine Dumas with Harari, PCMCIA System Architecture, and Wang.....	65
2. Dumas Discloses Mediating Communication of Data Between the Host Computing Device and the Target Means So That the Communicated Data Must First Pass Through the Security Means (Elements [1F], [11E], [23E], and [39C]) .....	67
VIII. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	68
IX. CONCLUSION.....	71

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### **Cases**

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	8
<i>In Re Rambus Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 42 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	8
<i>Randall Mfg. v. Rea</i> , 733 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	15
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	8

### **Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....	3
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	2
35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	2, 15
35 U.S.C. § 311(c) .....	1

### **Regulations**

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) .....	70
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	8

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.