Paper No. 21 Entered: April 29, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MEDIATEK INC. and MEDIATEK USA INC., and ARM LTD., AND ARM, INC., Petitioner,

v.

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and ATI TECHNOLOGIES ULC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-00101 Case IPR2018-01148¹ Patent 7,633,506 B1

Before JONI Y. CHANG, BRIAN J. McNAMARA, and PAUL J. KORNICZKY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McNAMARA, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

¹ IPR2018-00101 and IPR2018-01148 have been joined. All references in this Decision are to Papers and Exhibits in IPR2018-00101, unless otherwise noted.



BACKGROUND

On December 12, 2018, IPR2018-01148 was joined to this IPR2018-00101 in which a trial already had been instituted. *See ARM Ltd. and ARM Inc. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and ATI Techs., Inc.*, Case IPR2018-01148, (PTAB Dec. 12, 2018) (Paper 16, Decision to Institute and Grant of Motion For Joinder.) "Petitioner" refers to MediaTek Inc., MediaTek USA Inc., ARM Ltd., and ARM, Inc., collectively. "Patent Owner" refers to Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and ATI Technologies ULC, collectively.

On April 27, 2018, we instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 1–9 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,633,506 B1 ("the '506 Patent"). Paper 13 ("Dec. to Inst."). Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 16, "PO Resp.") and a contingent Motion to Amend (Paper 17, "Mot. To Amend"). Petitioner filed a Petitioner Reply (Paper 28, "Pet. Reply") and an Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend (Paper 29, "Opp. To Mot. To Amend"). Patent Owner filed a Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to the Motion to Amend (Paper 34, "Reply to Opp. To Mot. To Amend") and a Sur-reply to Petitioner's Reply (Paper 35, "PO Sur-reply"). Petitioner filed a Sur-reply to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend (Paper 41 "Pet. Sur-reply to Mot. To Amend"). Patent Owner also filed a Motion to Exclude (Paper 40, "Mot. To Exclude") and Petitioner filed a Response to Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude (Paper 42, "Resp. To Mot. To Exclude"). A transcript of an oral hearing held on January 22, 2019 (Paper 47, "H'rg. Tr.") has been entered into the record.

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6. This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a). We base our decision on the preponderance of the evidence. 35 U.S.C. § 316(e); 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(d).



Having reviewed the arguments of the parties and the supporting evidence, we conclude that Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that the challenged claims are unpatentable. We also deny Patent Owner's Motion to Amend.

THE '506 PATENT (EXHIBIT 1001)

According to the '506 patent, a three dimensional (3D) image typically is displayed on a two dimensional array of pixels using a plurality of graphical objects, such as points, lines, and polygons, known as primitives that are the basis of most rendering instructions. Ex. 1001, 1:45–50. Visible primitives that are part of a scene are drawn individually by determining those pixels falling within the edges of the primitives and obtaining attributes that correspond to each of the pixels to determine the displayed color value of the applicable pixels. Id. at 1:52-60. The final displayed color of an individual pixel may be a blend of colors from multiple surfaces or layers. Id. at 1:65-67. A blending function based on an opacity value associated with each pixel of each primitive can be used to blend colors of overlapping surfaces or layers when the top surface is not completely opaque. Id. at 1:61-65. 3D image data represents attributes such as color, opacity, texture, depth, and perspective information. *Id.* at 2:5–6. Graphics processing is the execution of draw commands that may include X and Y coordinates for the vertices of the primitive, as well as attribute parameters for the primitive (color and depth or "Z" data) to generate a display image. *Id.* at 2:6–11.

According to the '506 patent, a graphics chip designed to carry out instruction processing to render graphics on a screen typically has a frontend and a back-end. Ex. 1001, 2:19–20. The front-end receives graphics



instructions and generates geometry defining primitives or combinations of primitives that are processed by the back-end, where they might be textured, shaded, colored, or otherwise prepared for final output. *Id.* at 2:20–26. When back-end processing of primitives is complete, each pixel in the screen has a specific number value that defines a unique color attribute the pixel will have when drawn. *Id.* at 2:26–29. That final value is kept in a frame buffer for use at an appropriate time. *Id.* at 2:29–31. Systems have become more complex to accommodate three-dimensional (3D) data, requiring a 256-bit system that processes 512 bits in a single logic cycle. *Id.* at 2:32–40. The use of data words with a 256-bit frame buffer is a challenge for the input/output (I/O) system used by the graphics processing back-end because granularity may be too coarse. *Id.* at 2:40–45.

In the '506 patent, geometry representative data presented to the backend of the graphics chip is divided into data words and provided to one or more parallel pipelines. *Id.* at 2:55–60. The display screen is divided into tiles and a portion of the display screen defined by one or more tiles is serviced by a pipeline. *Id.* at 2:60–64, Fig. 3. Work is allocated to pipelines based on a repeating square pixel tile pattern. *Id.* 5:23–25. The tiling pattern is based on the number of active pipelines. *Id.* at 5:50–51. As shown in Figure 5, logic 520 in set-up unit 515 intersects graphics primitives with the repeating tile pattern, such that a primitive is sent to a pipeline only if it is likely to result in generation of covered pixels. *Id.* at 5:25–28. Set-up unit 515 creates a bounding box based on X, Y coordinates for each vertex of a polygon and the bounding box is compared to the tile pattern and mapped to one or more pipelines. *Id.* at 5:51–67.



Each parallel pipeline comprises a raster back-end having (i) a scan converter to step through the geometric patterns passed to the back end, (ii) a "hierarchical-Z" component to define the borders of the geometry more precisely, (iii) a "Z-buffer" for performing three dimensional operations of the data, (iv) a rasterizer for computing texture addresses and color components for a pixel, (v) a unified shader for combining multiple characteristics for a pixel and outputting a single value, and (vi) a color buffer logic unit for taking the incoming shader color and blending it into the frame buffer using the current frame buffer blend operations. *Id.* at 2:65–3:8, Fig. 5.

ILLUSTRATIVE CLAIM

Claim 1, with claim element designations used in the Petition shown in brackets, is reproduced below:

- 1. [preamble] A graphics chip comprising:
 - [a] a front-end in the graphics chip configured to receive one or more graphics instructions and to output a geometry;
 - [b] a back-end in the graphics chip configured to receive said geometry and to process said geometry into one or more final pixels to be placed in a frame buffer;
 - [c] wherein said back-end in the graphics chip comprises multiple parallel pipelines;
 - [d] wherein said geometry is determined to locate in a portion of an output screen defined by a tile; and
 - [e] wherein each of said parallel pipelines further comprises a unified shader that is programmable to perform both color shading and texture shading.

GROUNDS OF INSTITUTION

In our Decision to Institute, we instituted trial on the following challenges to patentability (which were all of the grounds asserted):



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

