

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

---

UNIFIED PATENTS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MOBILITY WORKX, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2018-01150  
Patent 8,213,417 B2

---

Before WILLIAM M. FINK, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge,  
MELISSA A. HAAPALA and KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI,  
Administrative Patent Judges.

SZPONDOWSKI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION  
Granting Petitioner's Motion for Admission  
*Pro Hac Vice* of Hunter Horton  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Unified Patents, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Hunter Horton. Paper 14. Petitioner asserts it will continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel. *Id.* at 2. Petitioner states that Patent Owner, Mobility Workx, LLC, does not oppose the motion. *Id.* at 2–3.

Petitioner asserts there is good cause to recognize Mr. Horton *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. *Id.* Petitioner’s assertions in this regard are supported by the Declaration of Mr. Horton. Ex. 1011. We determine Petitioner has established that there is good cause for the *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Horton in this proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *see also* *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).<sup>1</sup>

We note that Petitioner has already filed a Power of Attorney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) for Mr. Horton. Paper 1. In addition, Mr. Horton was listed in the mandatory notice under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) included with the Petition. Paper 2, 57–58.

It is:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion (Paper 14) seeking admission *Pro Hac Vice* for Hunter Horton is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Horton shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, including August 2018 and July 2019 Updates, and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

---

<sup>1</sup> Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2018-01150  
Patent 8,213,417 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Horton is subject to the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding; Mr. Horton is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding.

IPR2018-01150  
Patent 8,213,417 B2

PETITIONER:

Eric Buresh  
Jason R. Mudd  
ERISE IP, P.A.  
[eric.buresh@eriseip.com](mailto:eric.buresh@eriseip.com)  
[jason.mudd@eriseip.com](mailto:jason.mudd@eriseip.com)

Ashraf Fawzy  
Roshan Mansinghani  
UNIFIED PATENTS INC.  
[afawzy@unifiedpatents.com](mailto:afawzy@unifiedpatents.com)  
[roshan@unifiedpatents.com](mailto:roshan@unifiedpatents.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Tarek N. Fahmi  
Holly J. Atkinson  
ASCENDA LAW GROUP, PC  
[tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com](mailto:tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com)  
[holly.atkinson@ascendalaw.com](mailto:holly.atkinson@ascendalaw.com)