On behalf of:

DOCKE.

Δ

Patent Owner The Regents of the University of California By: Kerry S. Taylor Ryan E. Melnick Maria V. Stout KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Tel.: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502 Email: BoxUC@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC, INC.

Petitioner

v.

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2018-01156 U.S. Patent RE46,817

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODL	JCTIO	N1		
II.	THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL					
III.	CLA	AIM C	ONST	RUCTION4		
	A.	"dir	ect exc	itation"4		
	B.	"mu	ltichro	mophore system"6		
IV.	RES WIT IND	SPONS TH MC DEPEN	SE TO CQUAI DENT	GROUND 1: CARDULLO IN COMBINATION DE AND LECLERC DOES NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1 AND 3 OBVIOUS7		
	A.	Intro	oductio	on to Cardullo, McQuade, and LeClerc8		
	B.	The: and	re was and Le	no motivation to combine Cardullo with McQuade Clerc		
		1.	A PO acric PPE	OSA would not have replaced the small-molecule line orange in Cardullo System C with McQuade's polymer		
			a)	Thermo falsely characterizes Cardullo by asserting that System C has a much higher energy transfer than System B14		
			b)	A POSA would not have replaced a DNA intercalator with a large rigid polymer		
			c)	Thermo distorts the meaning of Cardullo's "antenna effect" in an attempt to equate it to delocalized electronic structures24		
			d)	Thermo fails to explain how McQuade's PPE would distinguish between single-stranded and double-stranded DNA29		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page No.

2.	McQuade's teachings regarding its dry thin-film pH sensor does not provide a motivation to combine McQuade with Cardullo's solution-based DNA system32					
	a)	McQuade only teaches measuring FRET between dry films and never in solution32				
	b)	McQuade's PPE polymer would be expected to exhibit quenching of fluorescence in aqueous solution				
	c)	The high FRET transfer efficiency observed in McQuade was due to a combination of features in its thin-film sensor, and not the mere presence of PPE polymer				
3.	LeCl McQ	erc does not provide a motivation to use Quade's PPE polymer in Cardullo's System C40				
	a)	LeClerc does not utilize the principle of FRET40				
	b)	LeClerc teaches that interaction between its conjugated polymer and DNA decreases fluorescence				
	c)	Contrary to Thermo's assertions, LeClerc teaches that its aggregation is detrimental43				
	d)	LeClerc's teachings belie Thermo's error in relying on the delocalized electronic structure of conjugated polymers as a motivation to combine43				

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page No.

V.	RES WIT	PONS H LEC	E TO GROUND 2: CARDULLO IN COMBINATION CLERC AND HARRISON DOES NOT RENDER			
	IND	EPENI	DENT CLAIMS 1 AND 3 OBVIOUS	45		
	A.	Introduction to Harrison4				
	B.	The combination of Cardullo, LeClerc, and Harrison does not teach or suggest all limitations of Claim 1 or Claim 34				
		1.	None of the references relied on by Thermo for Ground 2 disclose a greater than 4-fold increase in fluorescence emission	47		
		2.	The cases cited by Thermo regarding routine optimization are inapplicable	49		
	C.	Ther and I	There was no motivation to combine Cardullo with LeClerc and Harrison			
		1.	A POSA would not have combined LeClerc with Cardullo	54		
		2.	A POSA would not have replaced the small-molecule acridine orange in Cardullo System C with LeClerc's polythiophene polymers	55		
		3.	LeClerc teaches that interaction between its conjugated polymer and DNA decreases fluorescence	58		
		4.	LeClerc's polythiophene polymers have low quantum yield in solution	59		
		5.	Thermo's assertions regarding LeClerc's discrimination between ssDNA and dsDNA are not relevant to FRET	59		

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page No.

	6.	Thermo has not established that LeClerc's polythiophene polymers would exhibit Cardullo's antenna effect	60
	7.	Harrison does not provide a motivation to use LeClerc's polythiophene polymers in Cardullo	
		System C	62
VI.	CONCLUS	ION	63

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

