

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,510,327

UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL
BOARD

INTERBULK USA, LLC d/b/a INTERBULK EXPRESS,
Petitioner

v.

GLOBAL STRATEGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,510,327

Filing Date: Mar. 14, 2005

Issue Date: Mar. 31, 2009

HIGH-STRENGTH RIBBON-WOVEN DISPOSABLE BAG FOR
CONTAINING REFUSE

Inter Partes Review No. _____

Table of Contents

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-in-interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	2
D.	Service Information	2
E.	Power of Attorney	3
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	3
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108	3
A.	Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	3
B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	3
C.	Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	10
IV.	DESCRIPTION OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS.....	10
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	17
A.	Legal Overview	17
B.	“ribbons . . . devoid of low melting temperature bonding layers between the crossed ribbons”	18
C.	“wherein the stitch count for said bag is 100 per inch”	19
VI.	CLAIMS 1-20 OF THE ‘327 PATENT ARE INVALID.....	21
A.	Ground 1 – Claims 1-12, 14, and 16 are Obvious over Slawinski, Chen, Planeta ’665, and Abele under 35 U.S.C. § 103	21
B.	Ground 2 - Claims 13 and 15 are Obvious over Slawinski, Chen, Planeta ’665, Abele, and Planeta ’979 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	44
C.	Ground 3 - Claims 17-20 are Obvious over Slawinski, Chen, Hansen, and Planeta ’665 Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	49
VII.	CONCLUSION	65

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,510,327

List of Exhibits

- Ex. 1001** – U.S. Patent No. 7,510,327 to Williams et al. (issued Mar. 31, 2009) (the “’327 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002** – First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement, *Global Strategies, Inc. v. InterBulk USA, LLC d/b/a InterBulk Express*, No. 1:17-cv-12166-RGS (D. Mass Jan. 3, 2018) (Dkt. No. 15) (the “*Massachusetts Litigation*”)
- Ex. 1003** – Listing of Challenged Claims
- Ex. 1004** – U.S. Patent No. 4,205,611 to Slawinski (issued June 3, 1980)
- Ex. 1005** – U.S. Patent No. 5,830,119 to Chen (issued November 3, 1998)
- Ex. 1006** – U.S. Patent No. 5,395,665 to Planeta (issued March 7, 1995)
- Ex. 1007** – U.S. Patent No. 4,505,201 to Abele (issued March 19, 1985)
- Ex. 1008** – U.S. Patent No. 4,373,979 to Planeta (issued Feb. 15, 1983)
- Ex. 1009** – U.S. Patent No. 5,251,761 to Hansen et al. (issued Oct. 12, 1993)
- Ex. 1010** – File History of the ’327 Patent
- Ex. 1011** - Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction Enjoining Defendant’s Infringement, *Massachusetts Litigation* (Nov. 7, 2017) (Dkt. No. 7)
- Ex. 1012** – Declaration of Daniel H. Landau in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, *Massachusetts Litigation* (Nov. 7, 2017) (Dkt. No. 7-3)
- Ex. 1013** – Expert Declaration of Mirek Planeta (June 5, 2018)

Table of Authorities

Cases

Cultec, Inc. v. Stormtech LLC, IPR2017-00777, Paper 7 (PTAB Aug. 22, 2017).....8
Gardner v. TEC Syst., Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984)..... Passim
In re Swanson, 540 F.3d 1368, 88 USPQ 2d 1196, (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... 18
Inphi Corp. v. Netlist, Inc., 805 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 18
KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007) 43

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(pre-AIA)..... 4, 5, 6
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(1)3
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-3191
35 U.S.C. §102(e)(2) 9, 10

Rules

§ 42.8(a)(1)1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 10
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b).3
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) 17
37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)3
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) 17
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) 10
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)2
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104.....3

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 7,510,327

37 C.F.R. 42.108.....3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.