
INTEL CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

QUALCOMM, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01240
Patent 8,698,558 B2

GRANT OF GOOD CAUSE EXTENSION
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11); 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11), “the final determination in an inter partes review [shall] be issued not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices the institution of a review under this chapter, except that the Director may, for good cause shown, extend the 1-year period by not more than 6 months” The Director has delegated the authority to extend the one-year period to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c). In particular, 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c) provides:

in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(c), the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision in this proceeding.

The Federal Circuit recently issued a decision in *Koninklijke Philips v. Google*, No. 2019-1177, slip op. at 9–11 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 30, 2020), discussing circumstances in which the PTAB is limited to the grounds set forth in the petition. In view of the limited time before the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision in this proceeding, and under the unique circumstances of this case, the Chief Administrative Patent Judge has determined that good cause exists to extend the one-year period for issuing a Final Written Decision.



Scott R. Boalick
Chief Administrative Patent Judge

david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
richard.goldenberg@wilmerhale.com
theodoros.konstantakopoulos@wilmerhale.com

PATENT OWNER:

Joseph M. Sauer
David B. Cochran
Richard A. Graham
David M. Maiorana
Joshua R. Nightingale
Matthew W. Johnson
JONES DAY
jmsauer@jonesday.com
dcochran@jonesday.com
ragraham@jonesday.com
dmaiorana@jonesday.com
jrnightingale@jonesday.com
mwjohnson@jonesday.com