## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner, V.

## QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01315
Case IPR2018-01316
Patent $8,063,674$ B2 $^{1}$

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, and SCOTT B. HOWARD, Administrative Patent Judges.

HOWARD, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Jennifer L. Swize 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

[^0]On September 20, 2022, Patent Owner filed motions for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Jennifer L. Swize in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 33 (collectively "Motions"). ${ }^{2}$ The Motion in each case is supported by a Declaration of Ms. Swize. Ex. 2008 (collectively "Declarations"). ${ }^{3}$ Patent Owner indicates that "Counsel for Petitioner has indicated that it does not plan to oppose Ms. Swize's admission pro hac vice." Motion 2.

Upon review of the record before us, we note that a Power of Attorney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) has not been submitted for Ms. Swize. In view thereof, and for the reasons set forth below, the Motions are granted, and will be effective after Patent Owner files the aforementioned Power of Attorney.

It is, therefore,
ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion (Paper 33) for pro hac vice admission of Jennifer L. Swize is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Swize will be authorized to act only as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Swize shall comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide ${ }^{4}$ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)),

[^1]IPR2018-01315, IPR2018-01316
Patent $8,063,674$ B2
and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title
37, Code of Federal Regulations; and
FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Swize shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

## PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner<br>Thomas A. Rozylowicz<br>Timothy W. Riffe<br>David L. Holt<br>Whitney A. Reichel<br>FISH \& RICHARDSON P.C.<br>axf-ptab@fr.com<br>tar@fr.com<br>riffe@fr.com<br>holt2@fr.com<br>wreichel@fr.com

## PATENT OWNER:

Joseph M. Sauer

Matthew W. Johnson
David B. Cochran
Joshua R. Nightingale
Richard A. Graham
David M. Maiorana
JONES DAY
jmsauer@jonesday.com
mwjohnson@jonesday.com
dcochran@jonesday.com
jrnightingale@jonesday.com
ragraham@jonesday.com
dmaiorana@jonesday.com


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This Order addresses issues that are identical in each of the aboveidentified cases. Accordingly, we exercise our discretion to issue one order to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers without prior authorization.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For expediency, we refer to Paper numbers filed in IPR2018-01315. Similar Papers were filed in IPR2018-01316.
    ${ }^{3}$ Patent Owner originally filed the Declarations as Papers. With our authorization, Patent Owner filed the updated Declarations as Exhibits.
    ${ }^{4}$ Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

