## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Intel Corporation Petitioner

v.

Qualcomm Incorporated Patent Owner of U.S. Patent No. 8,838,949

Trial No. IPR2018-01334<sup>1</sup>

REPLY DECLARATION OF BILL LIN, PH.D. ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER

proceeding.

IPR2018-01334 Intel v. Qualcomm



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IPR2018-01335 and IPR2018-01336 have been consolidated with the instant

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION1		
II.	QUALIFICATIONS		
III.	RELEVANT LAW		
IV.		ENT OWNER'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS ARE ORRECT	2
	A.	"System Memory"	2
	B.	"Image Header"	5
	C.	"Hardware Buffer"	7
	D.	"Scatter Loader Controller"	9
	E.	Means-Plus-Function Limitations	11
V.	CLAIMS 1-23 ARE OBVIOUS		12
	A.	The Discussion of "Bauer and Svensson Combined" Identifies the Relevant Disclosure	14
	В.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated To Combine Bauer And Svensson	16
	C.	It Would Have Been Obvious To Transfer An Image In Bauer's File Format To A System Memory Of A Secondary Processor Using Svensson's Program Loader	17
	D.	Bauer in Combination with Svensson Meets the "System Memory" Requirements	
	E.	The Combination of Bauer And Svensson Teaches The "Scatter Loading" Limitations	31
	F.	The Combination Of Bauer And Svensson Alone Or With Kim Teaches The Secondary Processor Receiving The Image Header And Each Data Segment Separately	36



## U.S. Patent No. 8,838,949 Reply Declaration of Bill Lin, Ph.D.

	G.	The Combination of Bauer and Svensson Teaches a "Hardware Buffer"	.41
	Н.	The Combination of Bauer and Svensson Teaches a "Scatter Loader Controller"	.43
	I.	Dependent Claims 2 and 12 are Obvious	.46
VI.	CON	CLUSION	.48
VII.	AVAILABILITY FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION		.48
VIII.	RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT		
IV	II ID A	T	40



1. I, Bill Lin, Ph.D. declare as follows:

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 2. I have been retained by Intel Corporation ("Intel" or "Petitioner") as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. I previously prepared and submitted Declarations in support of the Petitions in IPR2018-01334, IPR2018-01335, and IPR2018-01336, dated July 2, 2018 and July 3, 2018 (Exs. 1002, 1102, and 1202). I understand that IPR2018-01335 and IPR2018-01336 have been consolidated with IPR2018-01334.
- 3. Since preparing my Declaration, I have reviewed Qualcomm's Patent Owner's Preliminary Responses ("POPRs") in IPR2018-01334, IPR2018-01335, and IPR2018-01336, the Board's Decision on Institution ("DOIs") in IPR2018-01334, IPR2018-01335, and IPR2018-01336, Qualcomm's Patent Owner Response ("POR") in the consolidated IPR2018-01334 proceeding, Dr. Rinard's declaration submitted in support of the POR (Ex. 2007), and the transcript of Dr. Rinard's deposition on August 28, 2019 (Ex. 1022). I have been asked to review and respond to the POR, Dr. Rinard's opinions and the Board's Decisions on Institution.
- 4. I am being compensated for my work on this matter, but my opinions are based on my own views of the patents and the prior art. My compensation in no way depends on the outcome of this proceeding or the content of my testimony.



- 5. In preparing this Declaration, I reviewed and considered the specification, claims, and file history of U.S. Patent No. 8,838,949 ("'949 patent") (Ex. 1001). I have also reviewed and considered the documents cited by Dr. Rinard in his declaration (Ex. 2007). Additionally, I have reviewed the related Reply, which I understand Intel will file at the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) at the same time as this Declaration is filed at the USPTO.
- 6. I have also reviewed all of the documents I cite in this declarationII. QUALIFICATIONS
- 7. I describe my qualifications in my first Declarations. Ex. 1002, ¶¶2-12; Ex. 1102, ¶¶1-11; Ex. 1202, ¶¶1-12.

### III. RELEVANT LAW

8. In my first Declarations, I set forth the applicable principles of patent law that were provided to me by counsel. Ex. 1002, ¶¶16-27; Ex. 1102, ¶¶15-26; Ex. 1202, ¶¶16-27. As appropriate, I have continued to apply those principles in providing my opinions in this Declaration.

## IV. PATENT OWNER'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS ARE INCORRECT

- A. "System Memory"
- 9. Patent Owner asserts that the term "system memory" in independent claims 1, 10, 16, 18, 20, and 22 (and dependent claims 2, 4, 5, 8, and 12) should be interpreted to mean "memory that is addressable by the secondary processor."



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

