

1 Juanita R. Brooks, SBN 75934, brooks@fr.com
2 Seth M. Sproul, SBN 217711, sproul@fr.com
3 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
4 12390 El Camino Real
5 San Diego, CA 92130
6 Phone: 858-678-5070 / Fax: 858-678-5099

7 Mark D. Selwyn (SBN 244180) mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com
8 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
9 950 Page Mill Road
10 Palo Alto, CA 94304
11 Phone: 650-858-6000 / Fax: 650-858-6100

12 [Additional counsel listed in signature block on last page.]
13 *Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED,
17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 APPLE INC.,

20 Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-CV-01375-DMS-MDD

**DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM
PLAINTIFF APPLE INC.'S OPENING
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

21 APPLE INC.,

22 Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED and
25 QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES,
26 INC.,

27 Counterclaim-Defendants.

Date: July 31, 2018

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 13A

Judge: Hon. Dana M. Sabraw

IPR2018-01334
Intel v. Qualcomm
INTEL 1024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,698,558..... 1

A. Technology Background 1

B. The Alleged “Problem” and Qualcomm’s Supposed “Solution” 3

C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 3

D. Agreed Claim Terms 3

E. Disputed Claim Terms 3

 1. “envelope signal” (Claims 7 and 19) 3

 2. “based on” (Claim 7) 5

 3. “receive a first supply voltage” / “receive the first supply voltage” (claims 7, 19) 7

III. U.S. PATENT NO. 9,608,675..... 9

A. Technology Background 9

B. The Alleged “Problem” and Qualcomm’s Supposed “Solution” 10

C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 11

D. Disputed Claim Terms 11

 1. “plurality of carrier aggregated transmit signals being sent simultaneously” (Claims 1, 10, and 12) 11

 2. “single power tracking signal” (Claims 1, 10, and 12) 14

 3. “power tracker” (Claim 1) 16

IV. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,633,936..... 17

A. Technology Background 18

B. The Alleged “Problem” in the Prior Art and Qualcomm’s Supposed “Solution” 18

C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 19

D. Disputed Claim Terms 19

 1. “programmable streaming processor” (Claims 19 and 27) 19

 2. Conversion Instruction (“conversion instruction that . . . converts graphics data . . . from a first data precision to converted graphics data having a second data precision”)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. “graphics instruction” (Claims 19 and 27)25

V. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,838,949.....25

A. Agreed Claim Terms25

VI. CONCLUSION25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page(s)

Federal Cases

Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017)23, 24

Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Grp., LP,
616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 14

Berkheimer v. HP Inc.,
881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018)25

Cheese Sys., Inc. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys., Inc.,
725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 13

Competitive Techs., Inc. v. Fujitsu Ltd.,
185 Fed. App’x 958 (Fed. Cir. 2006) 7

Computer Docking Station Corp. v. Dell, Inc.,
519 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2008)23

Dayco Prod., Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.,
258 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 13

ERBE Elektromedizin GmbH v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
566 F.3d 1028 (Fed. Cir. 2009) 19

Gen. Protecht Grp., Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
619 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2010)23

i4i Ltd. P’ship v. Microsoft Corp.,
598 F.3d 831 (Fed. Cir. 2010), *aff’d*, 564 U.S. 91 (2011).....21

Interval Lic’g LLC v. AOL, Inc.,
766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014) 6, 7

Invitrogen Corp v. Biocrest Mfg., L.P.,
327 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2003)4

In re Katz Interactive Call Processing Patent Litig.,
639 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2011)23

1 *Kruse Tech. P’ship v. Volkswagen AG*,
 2 544 F. App’x 943 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 12
 3 *Media Rights Techs., Inc. v. Capital One Fin. Corp.*,
 4 800 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 17
 5 *Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.*,
 6 134 S. Ct. 2120 (2014)..... 6, 25
 7 *Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp.*,
 8 514 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2008) 4, 5
 9 *Ottah v. VeriFone Sys., Inc.*,
 10 524 Fed. App’x 627 (Fed. Cir. 2013) 5
 11 *Raindance Techs. Inc. v. 10X Genomics, Inc.*,
 12 No. 1:15-cv-00152-RGA, 2017 WL 382235 (D. Del. Jan. 26, 2017)..... 14
 13 *Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.*,
 14 789 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 7
 15 *Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm’t Am. LLC*,
 16 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 14
 17 *Verizon Servs. Corp v. Vonage Holdings Corp.*,
 18 503 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2007) 4
 19 *Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.*,
 20 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996) 4
 21 *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,
 22 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) 17
 23 **Federal Statutes**
 24 35 U.S.C. § 112..... 16, 25
 25 **Other Authorities**
 26 *In the Matter of Certain Mobile Electronic Devices and Radio*
 27 *Frequency and Processing Components Thereof*,
 28 Inv. No. 337-TA-1065 (U.S.I.T.C.), Order No. 28 (March 5, 2018)*passim*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.