

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION
Petitioner,

v.

IPA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,757,718 B1
Issued: June 29, 2004
Filed: June 30, 2000

Inventors: Christine Halverson, Luc Julia, Dimitris Voutsas, Adam Cheyer

Title: SYSTEM, METHOD, AND ARTICLE OF MANUFACTURE FOR
AGENT-BASED NAVIGATION IN A SPEECH-BASED DATA
NAVIGATION SYSTEM


Inter Partes Review No. IPR2018-01440

**DECLARATION OF DR. HENRY LIEBERMAN, HDR
REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,718**

Declaration of Dr. Henry Lieberman Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718

I, Henry Lieberman, do hereby declare and state, that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

Executed on this 9th day of August, 2018.



Henry A. Lieberman
Henry A. Lieberman, HdR

Declaration of Dr. Henry Lieberman Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
A.	Engagement	1
B.	Background and Qualifications	1
C.	Compensation	3
D.	Information Considered.....	3
II.	LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY	4
A.	Anticipation	5
B.	Obviousness.....	7
III.	The 718 Patent	12
A.	Effective Filing Date of the 718 Patent.....	12
B.	Overview of the 718 Patent	13
C.	The Prosecution History of the 718 Patent	19
D.	Claims of the 718 Patent	20
E.	Construction of Terms Used in the 718 Patent Claims	25
1.	“navigation query”	26
2.	“electronic data source”	27
3.	“rendering an interpretation of the spoken request”	27
4.	“constructing [at least part of] a navigation query based upon the interpretation”	27
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE pRINCIPLE PRIOR ART	28
A.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	28
B.	Moran et al., Multimodal User Interfaces in the Open Agent Architecture (1997) (“Moran”) (Ex.1003)	29
C.	U.S. Patent No. 5,454,106 to Burns et al. (“Burns”) (Ex.1004)	37
D.	U.S. Patent No. 6,188,985 to Thrift et al. (“Thrift”) (Ex.1005).....	44
V.	COMPARISON OF PRIOR ART TO THE 718 PATENT CLAIMS	46
A.	Moran, or Moran in View of Burns, with or without Thrift Renders Claims 1-18 Unpatentable	46

Declaration of Dr. Henry Lieberman Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718

1.	Claim 1 Is Unpatentable.....	46
a.	Preamble	46
b.	Receiving a Spoken Request	59
c.	Rendering an Interpretation.....	62
d.	Constructing a Navigation Query	63
e.	Utilizing the Navigation Query	76
f.	Transmitting the Electronic Data Source	79
2.	Claims 2 and 3 are Unpatentable	86
3.	Claim 4 Is Unpatentable.....	93
a.	Soliciting Additional Input	93
b.	Refining the Navigation Query.....	97
c.	Selecting a Portion of the Data Source.....	99
4.	Claim 5 Is Unpatentable.....	105
5.	Claim 6 Is Unpatentable.....	112
6.	Claim 7 Is Unpatentable.....	113
a.	Claim 7 recites “[t]he method of claim 1, wherein the mobile information appliance is a wireless telephone.”	113
7.	Claim 8 Is Unpatentable.....	119
8.	Claim 9 Is Unpatentable.....	120
9.	Claim 10 Is Unpatentable.....	120
a.	Preamble	120
b.	Establishing a Data Link.....	121
c.	Code Segment Receiving a Spoken Request.....	121
d.	Devices Comprising a Portable Remote Control	122
e.	Rendering an Interpretation of the Spoken Request.....	122
f.	Constructing a Navigation Query	122
g.	Utilizing the Navigation Query	123
h.	Transmitting the Electronic Data Source	123
10.	Claim 11 Is Unpatentable.....	124

Petitioner Microsoft Corporation - Ex 1002 n. iii

Declaration of Dr. Henry Lieberman Regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,757,718

11.	Claim 12 Is Unpatentable.....	126
12.	Claim 13 Is Unpatentable.....	126
	a. Preamble	126
	b. Refining the Navigation Query.....	127
	c. Selecting a Portion of the Data Source.....	127
13.	Claim 14 Is Unpatentable.....	127
14.	Claim 15 Is Unpatentable.....	128
15.	Claim 16 Is Unpatentable.....	128
16.	Claim 17 Is Unpatentable.....	128
17.	Claim 18 Is Unpatentable.....	129
18.	Claim 19 Is Unpatentable.....	129
	a. Preamble	129
	b. Mobile Information Appliance	129
	c. Comprising a Portable Remote Control Device	130
	d. Spoken Language Processing Logic.....	130
	e. Query Construction Logic	130
	f. Navigation Logic	131
	g. Electronic Communications Infrastructure.....	132
19.	Claim 20 is Unpatentable.....	132
20.	Claim 21 is Unpatentable.....	133
21.	Claim 22 is Unpatentable.....	133
	a. Soliciting Additional Input	133
	b. Query Refining Logic	134
	c. Selecting a Portion of the Data Source.....	134
22.	Claim 23 is Unpatentable.....	135
23.	Claim 24 is Unpatentable.....	135
24.	Claim 25 is Unpatentable.....	135
25.	Claim 26 is Unpatentable.....	136
26.	Claim 27 is Unpatentable.....	136

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.