Filed: November 14, 2018

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC., Petitioner

v.

CELGENE CORPORATION,

Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01504 U.S. Patent No. 9,056,120

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TAB	LE O	F CONTENTS	1		
TAB	LE O	F AUTHORITIES	iv		
TAB	LE O	F ABBREVIATIONS	viii		
LIST	OF I	EXHIBITS	ix		
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1		
II.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) BECAUSE INSTITUTION WOULD RESULT IN AN INEFFICIENT USE OF BOARD RESOURCES				
	A.	The Timing of the Petition and the Advanced Nature of the Corresponding District Court Litigation Render Institution Inefficient	8		
	B.	Institution Would Upset the Careful Balance Struck by the Hatch-Waxman Act	10		
III.	U.S.	E BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION UNDER 35 C. § 314(a) BECAUSE IT LACKS THE REQUIRED CIFICITY	12		
IV.	THE BOARD SHOULD DENY THE PETITION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) BECAUSE IT PRESENTS SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME ART AND ARGUMENTS PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED BY THE OFFICE				
	A.	The '740 Patent Prosecution History			
	В.	The '717 Patent Prosecution History			
	C.	The '120 Patent Prosecution History			
	D.	Petitioner Relies on Cumulative Art and Repeats the Same Arguments Already Overcome During Prosecution			
V.	SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES				
	A.	List 2001	25		
	B.	Thomas 2000a	27		
	C.	The '230 Patent	29		



	D.	_	one Press Release 5/8/2001 and Celgene Press Release 2001	29	
VI.	LEV	EL OI	F ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	31	
VII.	CLA	IM CO	ONSTRUCTION	32	
VIII.	STATE OF THE ART				
	A.		α Was Not a Known or Effective Target for Treating	33	
		1.	The Art Shows Significant Uncertainty Regarding the Pathology of MDS and the Appropriate Target for Treatment	34	
		2.	TNF-α Inhibitors Did Not Work in Treating MDS	37	
	B.	Lenalidomide Was Not Known as the "Next Generation" Thalidomide			
		1.	Thalidomide and Lenalidomide are Distinct Compounds with Different Structures	39	
		2.	The Art Disclosed Different Categories of Thalidomide Analogs, Including IMiDs and SelCIDs, and, if Anything, Would Have Led a POSA to Use a SelCID to Treat MDS	42	
		3.	The Compound Now Known as Lenalidomide Was One of the Least Potent TNF-α Inhibitors	43	
	C.	Away Conte	Accepting Petitioner's Arguments as True, the Art Taught From the Claimed Inventions Because What Petitioner ends Were Known to Be IMiDs Caused Cytopenias, the ary Symptoms that Manifest in MDS Patients	45	
		1.	Thalidomide Did Not Cause Cytopenias	46	
		2.	CC-5013 Was Known to Cause Cytopenias	47	
IX.	LIKE HAV	ELIHO E BEI	IER DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE DOD THAT CLAIMS 1–8, 12–34, AND 38–53 WOULD EN OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF PETITIONER'S TWO D GROUNDS	48	
	A.		oner's Hindsight-Driven Approach Does Not Fill in the	49	



			ner List 2001 Nor Thomas 2000a Teaches or Suggests the ment of MDS or TDA with Lenalidomide		
	C.	Neither the '230 Patent Nor the Alleged Press Releases Cure the Shortfalls of List 2001 or Thomas 2000a			
	D.	A POSA Would Not Have Had Any Motivation to Treat MDS or TDA with the Compound Now Known as Lenalidomide, or Any Reasonable Expectation of Success			
		1.	A POSA Would Not Have Had Any Motivation to Combine List 2001 or Thomas 2000a with the Cited Secondary References	53	
		2.	A POSA Would Have Had No Expectation of Success in Treating MDS or TDA with What is Now Known as Lenalidomide	54	
		3.	The Art Taught Away From the Use of Thalidomide Analogs for Treating MDS or TDA Because They Caused the Main Symptoms of MDS	55	
	Е.		Dependent Claims are Patentable Over Grounds 1 and 2 for ame Reasons as Claims 1 and 28	56	
X.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS SUPPORT NONOBVIOUSNESS				
	A.	Unexpected Results			
	B.	Long	g-Felt But Unresolved Need	58	
VI	CON		CION	50	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
Abbott Labs. v. Young, 920 F.2d 984 (D.C. Cir. 1990)	10
Apotex Inc. v. Celgene Corp., IPR2018-00685, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 27, 2018)	30
Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp., 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	12
Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc., IPR2013-00276, Paper 43 (PTAB Oct. 23, 2014)	14
Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	16, 17
Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	52
Boston Sci. Corp. v. Johnson & Johnson, 647 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	40, 53
Coal. for Affordable Drugs VI LLC v. Celgene Corp., IPR2015-01169, Paper 22 (PTAB Nov. 16, 2015)	41, 53
Comcast Cable Commc'ns, LLC v. Veveo, Inc., IPR2017-00932, Paper 10 (PTAB Sept. 7, 2017)	31
<i>DeSilva v. DiLeonardi</i> , 181 F.3d 865 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	14
Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc., 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	29



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

