UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC

Petitioner,

v.

CELGENE CORP.

Patent Owner.

CASE NO. IPR2018-01507

Patent No. 8,404,717

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,404,717

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODU	ГІОМ		1	
II.	GRO	ROUNDS FOR STANDING1				
III.		NTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND STATEMENT THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED2				
IV.				ENT FOR INTER PARTES	2	
V.				NS FOR THE RELIEF	3	
	A.	Summ	ary of the Argu	ment	3	
	B.	The '	17 Patent and I	ts Prosecution	5	
		1.	The '717 Paten	t	5	
		2.	The Prosecution	n of the '717 Patent	6	
	C.	The P	The Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art7			
	D.					
	Е.	Scope and Content of the Prior Art9				
		1.	-	MDS and Its Related Transfusion- mia (TDA)	9	
		2.	ΓNFα Was a K	nown Target for MDS	12	
			a. Shetty 19	996	12	
		3.		inical Trials Showed Promise, nibiting TNFα	13	
			a. Raza 200	0b	13	
			o. Raza 200	0d	14	
			c. Raza 200	1	15	
			d. Thomas 2	2000a	16	
		4.		lidomide) Was a Known Compound Potency over Thalidomide	17	
			a. Thomas 2	2000a (continued)	18	
			o. Corral 19	999b	18	
			c. Marriott	2001	19	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

		d. Celgene Press Release 8/28/2001	20
		e. The '230 Patent	21
	5.	Clinical Trials of Revimid in MM and MDS	23
		a. List 2001	23
		b. Ritter 2001	24
		c. Celgene Press Release 5/8/2001	25
		d. Celgene Press Release 6/7/2001	26
F.	The	Law of Obviousness	27
G.	over	und 1: Claims 1–10 Were Unpatentable As Obvious CList 2001 in View of the '230 Patent and Celgene St Releases 5/8/2001 and 8/28/2001	28
	1.	Independent Claim 1 Was Obvious	29
	2.	Dependent Claim 10 Was Obvious.	33
	3.	Dependent Claims 2, 4, 6, and 8 Were Obvious	33
	4.	Dependent Claims 3, 5, 7, and 9 Were Obvious	34
Η.	Ove	und 2 Claims 1–10 Were Unpatentable As Obvious r Thomas 2000a in view of the '230 Patent, the gene 5/8/2001 and 8/28/2001 Press Releases	35
	1.	Independent Claim 1 Was Obvious	
	2.	Claim 10 Was Obvious	
	3.	Dependent Claims 2–9 Were Obvious.	38
I.		POSA Was Motivated to Combine the Prior Art chings.	
J.	•	Secondary Considerations Fail to Overcome the wing of Obviousness	44
	1.	Revlimid Sales Do Not Save the '717 Patent	44
		a. There Is No Nexus Between the Claims and Secondary Considerations of	
		Nonobviousness.	44

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

			b.	Any Commercial Success of Revlimid Is Attributable to Celgene's Extensive Marketing Efforts and REMS Program	46
		2.		Claimed Methods Produced No Unexpected lts	47
		3.		717 Patent Satisfied No Long-Felt but Unmet	47
		4.	Сору	ving Is Irrelevant	48
VI.	MAN	NDAT	ORY N	NOTICES	49
	A.	Real	Parties	s-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	49
	B.	Rela	ted Ma	tters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))	49
	C.			on of Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and ormation (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	50
	D.	Serv	ice Info	ormation (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	50

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,404,717, titled Methods of Treating Myelodysplastic Syndromes Using Lenalidomide
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,404,717
1003	Declaration of Mark Levin, M.D., in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,404,717
1004	Alan F. List et al., Rational Approaches to Design of Therapeutics Targeting Molecular Markers: Targeting Angiogenesis in Hematologic Malignancies, HEMATOLOGY, 2001 AM. SOC. HEMATOLOGY (ASH) EDUC. PROGRAM BOOK 443 (2001)
1005	Deborah A. Thomas, M.D. & Hagop M. Kantarjian, M.D., <i>Current Role of Thalidomide in Cancer Treatment</i> , 12 CURRENT OPINION IN ONCOLOGY 564 (2000)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,281,230, titled Isoindolines, Method of Use, and Pharmaceutical Compositions
1007	Press Release, Celgene Corp., Celgene Advances Immunomodulatory Drug (IMiD TM) Clinical Program (Feb. 29, 2000)
1008	Press Release, Celgene Corp., PR Newswire, Positive Interim Results Presented at the VIIIth International Myeloma Workshop on Celgene Corporation's Lead IMiD(TM) (REVIMID(TM)) (May 8, 2001)
1009	Press Release, Celgene Corp., PR Newswire, Initial Phase I Solid Tumor Data on Celgene's Lead Imid TM , Revimid TM (June 7, 2001)
1010	Press Release, Celgene Corp., PR Newswire, Celgene Corporation Awarded Additional Patent Protection for Lead IMiD(TM), REVIMID(TM); Comprehensive Patent Protection for REVIMID Includes Coverage of the Active Ingredient, Pharmaceutical Compositions, and Therapeutic Uses (Aug. 28, 2001)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.