

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01513
Patent 9,560,077 B2

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, J. JOHN LEE, and
JOHN P. PINKERTON, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

LEE, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Patent Owner, Centripetal Networks, Inc., requested oral argument pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Paper 19. The request is GRANTED according to the terms set forth in this Order. Oral argument for the proceeding will commence at **10:00 AM Eastern Time on Thursday, January 9, 2020**, on the ninth floor of USPTO Headquarters, Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. We allocate each party **forty-five (45) minutes** of total argument time to present its arguments.

Pre-Hearing Conference

Either party may request a pre-hearing conference. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, August 2018 Update, p. 19, *available at* www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2018_Revised_Trial_Practice_Guide.pdf. Requests for a pre-hearing conference must be made by **December 30, 2019**. Prior to making a request, the parties should meet and confer and send a joint request to the Board with an agreed upon set of limited issues for discussion in the pre-hearing conference. Issues appropriate for discussion in a pre-hearing conference may include objections to demonstratives, pending motions (particularly motions to exclude), and any other issue that may affect the ability of a party to present its arguments at the hearing. To request a pre-hearing conference, a joint email request should be sent to Trials@uspto.gov, including several dates and times of availability for both parties. If the parties are unable to agree on the issues to be addressed at the pre-hearing conference, the joint request shall specify which issues are disputed and provide a brief statement (not to exceed one sentence) of the opposing party's objection.

The panel may, at its discretion, indicate certain issues during the pre-hearing conference that it wishes parties to emphasize at the oral hearing. Although the parties and the panel may discuss issues for the oral hearing at the pre-hearing conference, the issues discussed at the pre-hearing conference do not limit the scope of the oral hearing. Instead, the parties remain free to address at the oral hearing any issue properly raised during the trial, and the panel may ask questions on issues other than those identified at the pre-hearing conference.

The prehearing conference is not required, and absent a request, no call will be held.

Order of Argument and Attending/Viewing Hearing

Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the challenged claims are unpatentable. Therefore, at the hearing, Petitioner will proceed first to present its arguments with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in this proceeding, as well as any motions for which it bears the ultimate burden of proof. Petitioner may reserve some of its allotted argument time for rebuttal to respond to Patent Owner's arguments.

After Petitioner's initial presentation, Patent Owner will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case and present any issues for which it bears the ultimate burden, including argument on any of Patent Owner's pending motions. Patent Owner may reserve some of its allotted argument time for sur-rebuttal. Thereafter, Petitioner may use any reserved time to respond to Patent Owner's presentation. Finally, Patent Owner may use any reserved time to respond to Petitioner's rebuttal arguments. The parties are reminded

that arguments made during rebuttal and sur-rebuttal periods must be responsive to arguments the opposing party made in its immediately preceding presentation. The parties also are reminded that during the hearing, the parties “may only present arguments relied upon in the papers previously submitted.” Office Trial Practice Guide, August 2018 Update, p. 23. New arguments not previously raised will be disregarded.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. Lead or backup counsel, however, may present the party’s argument. If either party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be attending the hearing, that party should initiate a joint telephone conference with the other party and the panel no later than **five (5) business days** prior to the hearing to discuss the matter.

The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance, and in-person attendance will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. Please be advised that available seating is limited, and a party expecting more than five people (including counsel) to attend in person should inform the Board via email message to PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least **five (5) business days** prior to the hearing.

A party may request that counsel be permitted to present arguments remotely from an alternative USPTO location. The available locations include the Texas Regional Office in Dallas, Texas; the Rocky Mountain Regional Office in Denver, Colorado; the Elijah J. McCoy Midwest Regional Office in Detroit, Michigan; and the Silicon Valley Office in San Jose, CA. To request that counsel be permitted to present arguments from a remote location, a party should send an email message to PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least **seven (7) business days** prior to the

hearing and provide a short statement of reasons for the request. The Board will notify the parties if the request is approved, but approval does not guarantee that a member of the panel will be present at the remote location.

A party may also request remote video attendance for one or more of its other attendees to view the hearing from any of the above USPTO locations. To request remote video viewing, a party must send an email message to PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least **seven (7) business days** prior to the hearing, indicating the requested location and the number planning to view the hearing from the remote location. The Board will notify the parties if the request for video viewing is granted. Note that it may not be possible to grant the request due to the availability of resources.

Demonstratives

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), each party's demonstratives must be served on opposing counsel at least **seven (7) business days** before the hearing. The parties also shall file a courtesy copy of the demonstratives with the Board at least **three (3) business days** prior to the hearing as separate papers (not as exhibits). Each party shall provide a hard copy of its demonstratives to the court reporter at the hearing. The parties are reminded that demonstratives are visual aids to oral argument, not evidence, and are intended only to assist the parties in presenting their oral argument to the panel. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041, Paper 65 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstratives. Demonstratives may not be used to advance arguments or introduce evidence not previously presented in the record. *See*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.