

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 11/799,512   | 05/01/2007  | Bruce Edward Stuckman | TTV001CON7          | 6479             |
| 34399 7590 07/24/2008<br>GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON |             |                       | EXAMINER            |                  |
| P.O. BOX 160727                                      |             |                       | BAROT, BHARAT       |                  |
| AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727                                |             |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                       | 2155                |                  |
|  |             |                       |                     |                  |
|  |             |                       | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                       | 07/24/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



MICROSOFT CORP. EXHIBIT 1022

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 11/799,512  | STUCKMAN ET AL.       |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit              |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bharat N. Barot   | 2155                  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 I</u>   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2008   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.               |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| · _  | 20  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>41-99</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) <u>41-59,65-83 and 95-99</u> is/are allowed.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 6) Claim(s) 60-64 and 84-94 is/are rejected.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Paner No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |



Application/Control Number: 11/799,512 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

## RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Original claims 41-99 remain for further examination.

## **THE NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION**

2. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 41-99 filed on May 08, 2008 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## **NON-STATUTORY DOUBLE PATENTING**

- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 4. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application, See 37 CFR 1.130(b).



Application/Control Number: 11/799,512 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

## **ANTICIPATION REJECTION**

- 5. Claims 1-18 of the US Patent No. 7,383,323 contain every limitation of claims 84-94 of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 84-94 of the instant application. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 6. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. <u>In re Longi</u>, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); <u>In re Berg</u>, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).
- 7. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:



Application/Control Number: 11/799,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

The claimed invention in the instant application (claims 84-94) is same as the claimed invention in the US Patent No. 7,383,323 (claims 1-18) by deleting the limitations from the claims 1-18 such as specific first video media element and second video media element, adding a limitation in to the claims 84-94 such as a plurality of found media elements, and rearranging/renaming the limitations of the claims 1-18 and creating the instant application claims 84-94. No new invention or new improvement is being claimed in the instant application (claims 84-94).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into the US Patent No. 7,383,323. [Based on 8-38] See also MPEP § 804.

### CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Logan et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,802,299). Logan's patent meets all the limitations for claims 60-64 recited in the claimed invention.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

