UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

IRON OAK TECHNOLOGIES, LLC.
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2018-01552
U.S. Patent No. 5,699,275

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

I.   INTRODUCTION

Petitioner submits this Reply to Patent Owner's ("PO") Response (Paper 16, "Resp.") concerning claim 1 of the '275 patent.

PO makes similar arguments against each of the three grounds, 1) *Sugita*, 2) *Sugita* and *Wortham*, and 3) *Ballard* and *Shimizu*. PO's arguments fail because they are based on an incorrect interpretation of the "wherein" clause[1] recited in claim 1. Moreover, even under PO's interpretation, the prior art in the instituted grounds discloses or suggests the system recited in claim 1. Thus, none of PO's positions warrant disruption of the Board's initial findings and analysis as to why claim 1 is unpatentable in view of the prior art asserted in the instituted grounds. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") and further explained below, claim 1 should be found unpatentable and cancelled.

---

[1] Petitioner refers to claim element 1(e) as the "wherein" clause, which recites "wherein the manager host is further operable to address the at least one discrete patch message such that the at least one discrete patch message is transmitted to the first mobile unit but not to the second mobile unit." (Ex. 1001, 13:50-53.)

II.   PO'S ARGUMENTS IN ITS PRELIMINARY RESPONSE NOT RAISED IN ITS RESPONSE ARE WAIVED

As a preliminary matter, PO's attempt to incorporate by reference all of its arguments from its Preliminary Response is improper. (Resp., 1 ("Patent Owner incorporates herein those arguments presented in its Preliminary Response.").) By rule, "[a]rguments must not be incorporated by reference from one document into another document."   37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3); *see also RPX Corp. v. Collision Avoidance Techs. Inc.*, IPR2017-01337, Paper 35 at 20 (Nov. 13, 2018) ("Incorporation by reference is a direct violation of our rules, which prohibit incorporation by reference from other documents."). Additionally, "[a]rguments that are not developed and presented in the Patent Owner Response, itself, are not entitled to consideration."   *Id.* at 20-21 (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). In fact, the Board's scheduling order explicitly cautions PO "that any arguments for patentability not raised in the response may be deemed waived." (Paper 10, 5.) Therefore, PO's arguments raised in its Preliminary Response but not raised in its Response are waived and not addressed herein. *See Trane U.S. Inc. v. SEMCO, LLC*, IPR2018-00514, Paper 36 at 4-6 (April 17, 2019) (finding "waiver of any argument" in patent owner's "post-institution response due to improper incorporation by reference" of arguments "from [patent owner's] "pre-institution response"); *Canfield Scientific, Inc. v. Melanoscan, LLC*, IPR2017-02125, Paper 62

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.