UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ————— BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD —————

BIOFRONTERA INCORPORATED, BIOFRONTERA BIOSCIENCE GMBH, BIOFRONTERA PHARMA GMBH, and BIOFRONTERA AG Petitioners

v.

DUSA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner

Case IPR2018-01585

Patent 8,216,289

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTR	ODUCTION1
II.	CLAI	M CONSTRUCTION2
III.	THE	PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER 35 USC § 325(d)
BEC	AUSE	IT PRESENTS THE SAME PRIOR ART AND ARGUMENTS AS
PREV	VIOUS	LY CONSIDERED BY THE OFFICE8
	A.	"the similarities and material differences between the asserted art and
		the prior art evaluated during examination"9
	B.	"the cumulative nature of the asserted art and the prior art evaluated
		during examination"
	C.	"the extent to which the asserted art was evaluated during
		examination, including whether the prior art was the basis for
		rejection"13
	D.	"the extent of the overlap between the arguments made during
		examination and the manner in which Petitioner relies on the prior art
		or Patent Owner distinguishes the prior art"14
	E.	"whether Petitioner has pointed out sufficiently how the Examiner
		erred in its evaluation of the asserted prior art"15
	F.	"the extent to which additional evidence and facts presented in the
		Petition warrant reconsideration of the prior art or arguments"15
	G.	The Board should exercise its discretion to deny institution of all
		grounds
IV.		PETITION FAILS TO SHOW THAT THE CITED REFERENCES
TEA	CH OR	RENDER OBVIOUS "AN ILLUMINATOR WHOSE MEASURED
OUT	PUT O	VER AN ACTIVE EMITTING AREA IS AT LEAST 60% OF THE
MEA	SURE	D MAXIMUM OVER ALL OPERATION DISTANCES"17
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, and 16-19 Are Not Anticipated By
		Rowland
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-2, and 4-19 Are Not Obvious Over Rowland In
		View Of The Knowledge Of A POSITA22



	C.	Ground 3: Claims 4-15 Are Not Obvious Over Rowland In View C	Of
		Lundahl, Further In View Of a POSITA	24
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 1-3, 12, and 16-19 Are Not Anticipated By Levi	n24
	E.	Ground 5: Claims 1-19 Are Not Obvious Over Levin In View Of T	The
		Knowledge Of A POSITA	26
	F.	Ground 6: Claims 4-15 Are Not Obvious Over Levin In View Of	
		Lundahl, Further In View Of a POSITA	27
	G.	Ground 7: Claims 1-2 And 4-19 Are Not Obvious Over Bower In	
		View Of The Knowledge Of a POSITA	28
	Н.	Ground 8: Claims 4-15 Are Not Obvious Over Bower In View Of	
		Lundahl, Further In View Of a POSITA	30
V	CON	ICLUSION	30



LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
DUSA-2001	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,723,910
DUSA-2002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,030,836
DUSA-2003	James C. Kennedy et al., "Photodynamic Therapy (PDT) and Photodiagnosis (PD) Using Endogenous Photosensitization Induced by 5-Aminolevulinic Acid (ALA): Mechanisms and Clinical Results", Journal of Clinical Laser Medicine & Surgery, Volume 14, Number 4, 1996 ("Kennedy")
DUSA-2004	Biofrontera's Disclosure of Proposed Claim Constructions, Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-10568, (D. Mass.) Sept. 14, 2018
DUSA-2005	U.S. Patent No. 6,709,446
DUSA-2006	U.S. Patent No. 6,223,071

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Apotex Inc. v. Abraxis Bioscience, LLC, IPR2018-00151	3
Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Verinata Health, Inc., Case IPR2013-00277	6
Ball Aerosol v. Ltd. Brands, 555 F.3d 984 (Fed. Cir. 2009)23, 2	
Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG, IPR2017-015863, 9, 1	2
Bettcher Industries, 661 F.3d at 639-64021, 2	5
Facebook, Inc. v. Sound View Innovations, LLC, PTAB, Case IPR2017-009851	8
Finnigan Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 180 F.3d 1354, 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1999)21, 2	.5
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320, 1325 (Fed Cir. 2004)	6
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977, 988 (Fed. Cir. 2006)2	
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531, 1533-1534 (Fed. Cir. 1993)21, 2	5
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743, 745 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	5
Kamada, ITD. V. Grigols Therapeutics Inc., IPR2014-008992	1
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398, 418 (2007)	
Macauto USA, Inc. v. BOS GMBH & Co. KG, IPR2018-00480	3
Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc., 545 F.3d 1359, 1369-71 (Fed. Cir. 2008)2	
Oatey Co. v. IPS Corp., 514 F.3d 1271, 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	6
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	2
SAS Inst., Inc. v. Iancu, 138 S. Ct. 1348, 1356 (2018)	6
ScriptPro LLC v. Innovation Assocs., 833 F.3d 1336, 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	6
Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc. v. Radiometer Medical APS, Case IPR2018-003111	7
Tate & Lyle Americas LLC v. Cargill, Inc., IPR2014-000842	
Wellman. Inc. v. Eastman Chem. Co., 642 F.3d 1355, 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

