

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PRIME WIRE & CABLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CANTIGNY LIGHTING CONTROL, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01592
Patent 9,320,122 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, DENISE M. POTHIER, and
JOHN F. HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POTHIER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT
Termination of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.73

Prime Wire & Cable, Inc. (Petitioner)¹ and Cantigny Lighting Control, LLC (“Patent Owner”)² filed a Joint Motion to Terminate Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72 and 42.74 (“Joint Motion to Terminate”) (Paper 9) and a Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential and to Maintain Said Agreement Separate from the Public File Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) (Paper 10) in the above-captioned proceeding. The parties represent Petitioner, Patent Owner, and licensee Jasco Product Company³ have reached resolution on their dispute concerning U.S. Patent No. 9,320,122 B2 (“the ’122 patent”) including the related litigation; the parties also represent a true and complete copy of their written settlement agreement has been filed concurrently with the above motion as required under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). Paper 9, 1–2; Ex. 2001. The parties further certify that no other agreements or understandings exist between the parties concerning this proceeding. Paper 9, 1.

This case is in an early stage; no institution of a trial has been made. Based on the facts of this case, we determine that it is appropriate to enter

¹ Petitioner, Prime Wire & Cable, Inc., identifies itself as a real party-in-interest and identifies its parent company as “YCF-BonEagle Co., Ltd. (‘YFC-Taiwan’), a limited liability company organized in Taiwan.” Paper 3, 12.

² Patent Owner, Cantigny Lighting Control, LLC, identifies (1) itself and (2) Jasco Products Company, LLC as real parties-in-interest. Paper 6, 1.

³ Jasco Products Company, LLC has been identified by Patent Owner as “the exclusive licensee of the ’122 patent.” Paper 6, 1.

judgment.⁴ Therefore, the parties' joint motion to terminate the proceeding is *granted*.

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that the parties' joint motion to terminate the above-captioned case is *granted*, and the case is *terminated*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties' Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential and to Maintain Said Agreement Separate from the Public File Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) in the above-captioned case is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit 2001 shall be kept separate from the pertinent file consistent with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b).

⁴ A judgment means a final written decision by the Board, or a termination of a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.2.

IPR2018-01592
Patent 9,320,122 B2

For PETITIONER:

John K. Buche
Bryce A. Johnson
BUCHE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
jbuche@buchelaw.com
bjohnson@buchelaw.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Timothy Maloney
Karen Wang
Paul Henkelmann
FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY LLP
tim@fitcheven.com
kwang@fitcheven.com
phenkelmann@fitcheven.com