

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

INTIMIDATOR, INC. and RF PRODUCTS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BAD BOY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2018-01632
Patent 9,730,386 B1

Before FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, KEVIN W. CHERRY, and
PAUL J. KORNICZKY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KORNICZKY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Petitioner's Unopposed Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Bradley Trammell
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2018-01632
Patent 9,730,386 B1

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Intimidator, Inc. and RF Products, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Bradley Trammell (Paper 10, “Motion”), as well as a supporting affidavit from Mr. Trammell (Exhibit 1016). Petitioner represents that Bad Boy, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) does not oppose the Motion. Paper 10, 2. Having reviewed the Motion and supporting affidavit, we determine that good cause exists for granting *pro hac vice* admission to Mr. Trammell. *See* 37 CFR § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (“Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).

According, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Trammell is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Trammell is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in the instant proceeding and Petitioner must continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in the instant proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Trammell must comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, as updated by the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide August 2018 Update, 83 Fed. Reg. 39,989 (Aug. 13, 2018), and the Board’s Rules

IPR2018-01632
Patent 9,730,386 B1

of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must file an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Trammell as back-up counsel; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Trammell is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq.

IPR2018-01632
Patent 9,730,386 B1

PETITIONER:

Warner J. Delaune
Lea H. Speed
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.
wdelaune@bakerdonelson.com
lspeed@bakerdonelson.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher L. Drymalla
Chad Ennis
Patrick Connolly
BRACEWELL LLP
chris.drymalla@bracewell.com
chad.ennis@bracewell.com
Patrick.connolly@bracewell.cm