

3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Feasibility Study on Uplink Enhancements for UTRA TDD; (Release 6)



The present document has been developed within the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP™) and may be further elaborated for the purposes of 3GPP.

The present document has not been subject to any approval process by the 3GPP Organizational Partners and shall not be implemented.

Keywords

UMTS, radio, packet mode, layer 1

3GPP

Postal address

3GPP support office address

650 Route des Lucioles - Sophia Antipolis

Valbonne - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Internet

<http://www.3gpp.org>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© 2004, 3GPP Organizational Partners (ARIB, CCSA, ETSI, ATIS, TTA, TTC).
All rights reserved.

Contents

<u>Foreword</u>	5
<u>Introduction</u>	6
<u>1 Scope</u>	7
<u>2 References</u>	7
<u>3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations</u>	7
<u>4 Requirements</u>	8
<u>5 Reference Techniques in Earlier 3GPP Releases</u>	8
<u>5.0 Connection State Model</u>	8
<u>5.1 Allocation of Dedicated Resources</u>	9
<u>5.1.1 Uplink/Downlink Synchronization</u>	9
<u>5.2 Allocation of Shared Resources</u>	11
<u>5.2.1 General</u>	11
<u>5.2.2 Measurements used for Scheduling</u>	11
<u>5.2.3 PUSCH Capacity Request Message</u>	12
<u>5.2.4 Physical Shared Channel Allocation Message</u>	12
<u>5.3 Uplink TFCS Management with RRC Signalling</u>	13
<u>5.4 Transport Format Combination Selection in the UE</u>	14
<u>5.4.1 Description of TFC selection method</u>	14
<u>5.4.1.1 TFC selection in UE for 3.84 Mcps TDD option</u>	16
<u>5.4.1.2 TFC selection in UE for 1.28 Mcps TDD option</u>	17
<u>5.4.2 TFC selection method as a reference case for Enhanced Uplink</u>	18
<u>6 Overview of considered Uplink Enhancements for UTRA TDD</u>	18
<u>6.1 Scheduling <Node B controlled scheduling, AMC></u>	18
<u>6.1.1 Node-B Rate Scheduling</u>	19
<u>6.1.2 Node-B Physical Resource Scheduling</u>	21
<u>6.2 Hybrid ARQ</u>	21
<u>6.2.1 General</u>	21
<u>6.2.2 Transport Channel Processing</u>	22
<u>6.2.3 Associated Signalling</u>	22
<u>6.3 Fast Allocation of Dedicated or Shared Resources</u>	23
<u>6.4 Signalling</u>	23
<u>7 Physical Layer Structure Alternatives for Uplink Enhancements for UTRA TDD</u>	23
<u>7.1 Relationship to existing transport channels</u>	23
<u>7.1.1 Transport Channel Structure</u>	24
<u>7.1.1.1 Number of E-UCHs</u>	25
<u>7.1.1.2 TTI</u>	25
<u>8 Evaluation of Techniques for Enhanced Uplink</u>	25
<u>8.1 Scheduling <Node B controlled scheduling, AMC></u>	25
<u>8.1.1 Performance Evaluation</u>	25
<u>8.1.2 Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts></u>	25
<u>8.1.3 Downlink Signalling</u>	25
<u>8.1.4 Uplink Signalling</u>	25
<u>8.1.5 Compatibility with earlier Releases</u>	25
<u>8.2 Hybrid ARQ</u>	25
<u>8.2.1 Performance Evaluation</u>	25
<u>8.2.2 Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts></u>	25
<u>8.2.3 Downlink Signalling</u>	26
<u>8.2.4 Uplink Signalling</u>	26
<u>8.2.5 Compatibility with earlier Releases</u>	26
<u>8.3 Fast Allocation of Dedicated or Shared Resources</u>	26
<u>8.3.1 Performance Evaluation</u>	26

8.3.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	26
8.3.3	Downlink Signalling	26
8.3.4	Uplink Signalling	26
8.3.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	26
8.4	Physical Layer Enhancements	26
8.4.1	Performance Evaluation	26
8.4.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	26
8.4.3	Downlink Signalling	26
8.4.4	Uplink Signalling	26
8.4.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	26
9	Impacts to the Radio Network Protocol Architecture	26
10	Impacts to L2/L3 Protocols	26
11	Conclusions and Recommendations	26
Annex A: Simulation Assumptions and Results.....		28
A.1	Link Simulation Assumptions	28
A.2	Link Simulation Results	28
A.3	System Simulation Assumptions	28
A.4	System Simulation Results	28
A.5	Traffic Models	28
Annex B: Change history.....		28
Foreword.....	5	
Introduction	5	
1 Scope	7	
2 References	7	
3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations	7	
4 Requirements	8	
5 Reference Techniques in Earlier 3GPP Releases	8	
5.0 Connection State Model	8	
5.1 Allocation of Dedicated Resources	9	
5.1.1 Uplink/Downlink Synchronization	9	
5.2 Allocation of Shared Resources	11	
5.2.1 General	11	
5.2.2 Measurements used for Scheduling	11	
5.2.3 PUSCH Capacity Request Message	12	
5.2.4 Physical Shared Channel Allocation Message	12	
5.3 Uplink TFCS Management with RRC Signalling	13	
5.4 Transport Format Combination Selection in the UE	14	
5.4.1 Description of TFC selection method	14	
5.4.1.1 TFC selection in UE for 3.84 Meps TDD option	16	
5.4.1.2 TFC selection in UE for 1.28 Meps TDD option	17	
5.4.2 TFC selection method as a reference case for Enhanced Uplink	18	
6 Overview of considered Uplink Enhancements for UTRA TDD	18	
6.1 Scheduling <Node B controlled scheduling, AMC>	18	
6.2 Hybrid ARQ	19	
6.2.1 General	19	
6.2.2 Transport Channel Processing	19	
6.2.3 Associated Signalling	20	
6.3 Fast Allocation of Dedicated or Shared Resources	21	
6.4 Signalling	21	

7	Physical Layer Structure Alternatives for Uplink Enhancements for UTRA TDD	21
8	Evaluation of Techniques for Enhanced Uplink	21
8.1	Scheduling <Node B controlled scheduling, AMC>	21
8.1.1	Performance Evaluation	21
8.1.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	21
8.1.3	Downlink Signalling	21
8.1.4	Uplink Signalling	21
8.1.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	21
8.2	Hybrid ARQ	21
8.2.1	Performance Evaluation	21
8.2.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	21
8.2.3	Downlink Signalling	21
8.2.4	Uplink Signalling	21
8.2.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	22
8.3	Fast Allocation of Dedicated or Shared Resources	22
8.3.1	Performance Evaluation	22
8.3.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	22
8.3.3	Downlink Signalling	22
8.3.4	Uplink Signalling	22
8.3.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	22
8.4	Physical Layer Enhancements	22
8.4.1	Performance Evaluation	22
8.4.2	Complexity Evaluation <UE and UTRAN impacts>	22
8.4.3	Downlink Signalling	22
8.4.4	Uplink Signalling	22
8.4.5	Compatibility with earlier Releases	22
9	Impacts to the Radio Network Protocol Architecture	22
10	Impacts to L2/L3 Protocols	22
11	Conclusions and Recommendations	22
Annex A:	Simulation Assumptions and Results	23
A.1	Link Simulation Assumptions	23
A.2	Link Simulation Results	23
A.3	System Simulation Assumptions	23
A.4	System Simulation Results	23
A.5	Traffic Models	23
Annex B:	Change history	23

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

x the first digit:

1 presented to TSG for information;

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.