

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

OXFORD NANOPORE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Petitioners

v.

PACIFIC BIOSCIENCES OF CALIFORNIA, INC.

Patent Owner

Case No. Unassigned

Patent 9,738,929

DECLARATION OF DR. PATRICK HRDLICKA IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,738,929

Declaration of Dr. Patrick Hrdlicka in Support of
Petition for *IPR* of U.S. Patent No. 9,738,929

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS..... | 1 |
| II. LEGAL UNDERSTANDING..... | 3 |
| A. Anticipation..... | 4 |
| B. Obviousness..... | 4 |
| C. Claim Construction | 7 |
| III. THE PRIOR ART..... | 10 |
| A. Prior Art Considered | 10 |
| IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 11 |
| V. BACKGROUND OF SEQUENCING NUCLEIC ACIDS USING NANOPORES AND MOLECULAR MOTORS | 12 |
| A. Nanopore Sequencing Using Enzyme Chaperones | 12 |
| B. Sequencing of Complementary Strands to Improve Accuracy ... | 15 |
| VI. OVERVIEW OF THE '929 PATENT | 16 |
| A. Overview of the Subject Matter of the '929 Patent..... | 16 |
| B. Overview of the Prosecution History..... | 19 |
| VII. SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART | 23 |
| A. Nanopore Sequencing using Enzyme Chaperones was Known in the Art Prior to the Earliest Priority Date Claimed by the '929 Patent..... | 23 |
| 1. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0063171 (“Akeson”) | 23 |
| 2. U.S. Patent No. 6,936,433 (“Akeson ’433”)..... | 26 |

Declaration of Dr. Patrick Hrdlicka in Support of
Petition for *IPR* of U.S. Patent No. 9,738,929

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----------|
| B. | Sequencing of Both Strands of a Polynucleotide was Known in the Art Prior to the Earliest Priority Date Claimed by the '929 Patent | 27 |
| 1. | Sanger | 27 |
| 2. | U.S. Patent No. 6,087,099 (“Gupte”) | 28 |
| 3. | U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0142559 (“Makrigiorgos”) | 31 |
| C. | Various Linkers for Connecting Complementary Strands of DNA were Known in the Art Prior to the Earliest Priority Date Claimed by the '929 Patent | 34 |
| 1. | Miner | 34 |
| 2. | O’Dea | 35 |
| VIII. | SUMMARY OF COMBINATIONS | 36 |
| IX. | THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE | 37 |
| A. | Ground 1: Claims 1-8, 10-11 and 16 are obvious over Akeson and Gupte | 37 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson and Gupte | 37 |
| 2. | Claim 1 | 38 |
| 3. | Claim 2 | 50 |
| 4. | Claim 3 | 50 |
| 5. | Claim 4 | 51 |
| 6. | Claim 5 | 51 |
| 7. | Claim 6 | 52 |
| 8. | Claim 7 | 55 |

Declaration of Dr. Patrick Hrdlicka in Support of
Petition for *IPR* of U.S. Patent No. 9,738,929

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 9. | Claim 8 | 57 |
| 10. | Claim 10 | 59 |
| 11. | Claim 11 | 60 |
| 12. | Claim 16 | 62 |
| B. | Ground 2: Claim 12 is obvious over Akeson, Gupte and Miner .. | 64 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Gupte and Miner..... | 64 |
| 2. | Claim 12 | 65 |
| C. | Ground 3: Claim 17 is obvious over Akeson, Gupte and Akeson '433..... | 67 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Gupte and Akeson '433..... | 67 |
| 2. | Claim 17 | 68 |
| D. | Ground 4: Claims 1-8, 10-11 and 13 are obvious over Akeson, Sanger and Makrigiorgos | 69 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Sanger and Makrigiorgos .. | 69 |
| 2. | Claim 1 | 70 |
| 3. | Claim 2 | 81 |
| 4. | Claim 3 | 82 |
| 5. | Claim 4 | 82 |
| 6. | Claim 5 | 83 |
| 7. | Claim 6 | 83 |
| 8. | Claim 7 | 86 |
| 9. | Claim 8 | 88 |

Declaration of Dr. Patrick Hrdlicka in Support of
Petition for *IPR* of U.S. Patent No. 9,738,929

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 10. | Claim 10 | 89 |
| 11. | Claim 11 | 90 |
| 12. | Claim 13 | 90 |
| E. | Ground 5: Claim 9 is obvious over Akeson, Gupte and Makrigiorgos..... | 91 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Gupte and Makrigiorgos. .. | 91 |
| 2. | Claim 9 | 93 |
| F. | Ground 6: Claim 12 is obvious over Akeson, Sanger, Makrigiorgos and Miner | 96 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Sanger, Makrigiorgos and Miner. | 96 |
| 2. | Claim 12 | 96 |
| G. | Ground 7: Claims 14 and 15 are obvious over Akeson, Sanger, Makrigiorgos and O’Dea..... | 98 |
| 1. | A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Akeson, Sanger, Makrigiorgos and O’Dea..... | 98 |
| 2. | Claim 14 | 99 |
| 3. | Claim 15 | 100 |
| X. | CONCLUSION | 101 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.