

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FIDELITY INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

GROOVE DIGITAL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2019-00050 and IPR2019-00193¹
Patent 9,454,762 B2

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, NORMAN H. BEAMER, and
STACY B. MARGOLIES, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BEAMER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

NOTICE
Final Written Decision
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)

¹ This Order addresses issues that are common to both cases. We exercise our

I. NOTICE OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION

On April 30, 2020, we issued non-public versions of Final Written Decisions in cases IPR2019-00050 and IPR2019-00193 determining, for IPR2019-00050, that Petitioner has demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 14, 18–20, 22, 24–25, 29–31, 33, 35, and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 9,454,762 B2 (the “’762 patent”) are unpatentable, and has not demonstrated by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 3, 7–9, and 36 of the ’762 patent are unpatentable; and for IPR2019-00193, Petitioner has established by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 15–17, 21, 23, 26–28, 32, and 34 of the ’762 patent are unpatentable, and has not established by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 2, 4–6, and 10–13 of the ’762 patent are unpatentable. Public versions of the decisions will issue in due course.

II. ORDER CONCERNING PUBLIC AVAILABILITY OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISIONS

The decisions have been entered as non-public documents because they may refer to information that is the subject of one or more motions to seal. No later than ten business days after entry of the decisions, the parties may identify which portions of the decisions, if any, should be redacted from public versions. The parties may make such an identification by jointly submitting via email to Trials@uspto.gov a PDF document for each decision containing all proposed redactions. The parties shall not file their proposed redactions in the dockets of the proceedings.

If the parties agree that a decision may be made publicly available without any redactions, the parties may notify the Board via email stating such within the same time frame. In the absence of a communication from the parties about any alleged confidentiality of the decisions during the ten-

Cases IPR2019-00050 and IPR2019-00193
Patent 9,454,762 B2

day period set forth above, the Board will issue public versions of the decisions as originally entered.

It is SO ORDERED.

Cases IPR2019-00050 and IPR2019-00193
Patent 9,454,762 B2

For PETITIONER:

Jeffrey Berkowitz

Kevin Rodkey

Kara Specht

Erika Arner

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP

jeffrey.berkowitz@finnegan.com

kevin.rodkey@finnegan.com

kara.specht@finnegan.com

erika.arners@finnegan.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Kevin Greenleaf

Joel N. Bock

DENTONS US LLP

kevin.greenleaf@dentons.com

joel.bock@dentons.com

George Schoof

BUTZEL LONG, P.C.

schoof@butzel.com