

Filed: April 10, 2019

Filed on behalf of:

**Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Limited**

By: Douglas G. Muehlhauser

Jon W. Gurka

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404

Fax: (949) 760-9502

Email: [BoxFPH892-4@knobbe.com](mailto:BoxFPH892-4@knobbe.com)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

RESMED LIMITED, RESMED INC., and  
RESMED CORP.,  
Petitioners

v.

FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE LIMITED,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2019-00178

U.S. Patent No. 9,974,914

---

**PATENT OWNER FISHER & PAYKEL HEALTHCARE LTD.'S  
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. BACKGROUND .....	2
A. Overview Of The '914 Patent .....	2
1. The '914 Patent Specification.....	2
2. The Claims .....	3
B. Overview Of Petitioner's References.....	4
1. Sleeper.....	4
2. Wood.....	8
C. Claim Construction .....	10
D. Level Of Skill In The Art .....	12
E. Petitioner's Asserted Grounds.....	12
F. Relationship To IPR 2019-00177.....	13
III. ARGUMENT .....	13
A. Legal Standard.....	13
1. Threshold For Institution .....	13
2. Obviousness Combinations.....	14
B. The Petition Fails To Demonstrate That All Limitations In Claim 9 Are Found In The References, And The Board Should Deny The Petition .....	16
1. Petitioner Fails To Show Nasal Prongs Adjacent A Curved User-Side Portion .....	16

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
*(cont'd)*

	<b>Page No.</b>
2. Petitioner Fails To Show A Nasal Cannula Or Conduit Configured To Supply Humidified Gases .....	21
C. The Petition's Reliance On Combined References Fails .....	22
1. Sleeper Fails To Disclose First Sides Of The Two Prongs Disposed Further Apart Than The Second Sides Of The Two Prongs .....	23
2. Petitioner's Motivation To Combine Is Unsupported By The Record .....	24
a. Reason 1 (improved patient comfort) is untethered to Wood's oval-shaped nasal apertures.....	25
b. Reason 2 (better seal) is untethered to Wood's oval-shaped apertures and disclosed in Sleeper .....	27
c. Reason 3 (known technique to a known apparatus) is unsupported by the evidence.....	28
i. Sleeper and Wood provide distinct and dissimilar mask designs.....	29
ii. Petitioner's combination would remove a key feature from Sleeper for a redundant function.....	31
IV. CONCLUSION.....	33

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	24
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith &amp; Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	14
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	14, 15, 16
<i>MBC Medical Co. et al. v. ResMed Ltd.</i> , IPR 2014-01363, Paper 7 at 7 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 21, 2015) .....	21
<i>MBC Medical Co. et al. v. ResMed Ltd.</i> , IPR 2014-01363, 2016 WL 287090 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 20, 2016) .....	21
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxycorr, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	10
<i>In re Nuvasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	15
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (C.C.P.A. 1959) .....	16, 31, 32
<i>ResMed Ltd. v. Fisher &amp; Paykel Healthcare Ltd.</i> , IPR2019-00172, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. April 4, 2019) .....	1
<i>South-Tek Sys., LLC v. Engineered Corrosion Sols., LLC</i> , No. 2017-2297, 2018 WL 4520013 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 20, 2018).....	28, 31, 32

## OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 314.....	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.108 .....	14
M.P.E.P. § 2143.01 .....	15

### EXHIBIT LIST

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
FPH2001	Declaration of Patrick W. Truitt, Jr.
FPH2002	Curriculum Vitae of Patrick W. Truitt Jr.
FPH2003	The New Oxford American Dictionary
FPH2004	The American Heritage Dictionary

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.