RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. and AUGUST HOME, INC., Petitioner,

v.

MARK W. KILBOURNE, Patent Owner.

> IPR 2019-00233 Patent 7,373,795 B2

Oral Hearing Held: January 30, 2020

Before GEORGE R. HOSKINS, RICHARD H. MARSCHALL, and JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JOSEPH A. HYNDS, ESQUIRE JENNIFER P. NOCK, ESQUIRE ERIC D. BLATT, ESQUIRE Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. 607 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005 202-783-6040 jhynds@rfem.com; jnock@rfem.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

ROBERT J. McAUGHAN, JR., ESQUIRE ALBERT B. DEAVER, ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER M. LONVICK, ESQUIRE McAughan Deaver, PLLC <u>bmcaughan@md-iplaw.com</u> <u>adeaver@md-iplaw.com</u> <u>clonvick@md-iplaw.com</u>

ALSO PRESENT:

MATT CLEMENTS Apple Inc.

PAGE HESLIN Assa Abloy

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, January 30, 2020, commencing at 1:30 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

2

1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	
3	JUDGE HOSKINS: Good afternoon, everyone. We're here today to
4	receive oral argument in Inter Partes Review Number 2019-00233, the
5	challenged patent here is U.S. Patent Number 7,373,795. Our Petitioner
6	today is Apple Inc., and August Home, Inc. And our Patent Owner is Mark
7	W. Kilbourne, who also happens to be the solely-named inventor on the
8	challenged 795 Patent.
9	So, with that let me ask Counsel to make their entries today, and also
10	make whatever other introductions of the people in the hearing room, they
11	care to make. And we'll start with Counsel for Petitioner, please.
12	MR. HYNDS: Good afternoon, Your Honors. My name is Joe
13	Hynds, I'm with the Rothwell Figg Firm, and I'm representing Petitioners
14	Apple and Assa Abloy. With me here today is Jen Nock from the Rothwell,
15	Figg firm, and also here today is Matt Clements from Apple, and Page
16	Heslin from Assa Abloy.
17	JUDGE HOSKINS: Thank you, and welcome.
18	MR. HYNDS: Thank you.
19	JUDGE HOSKINS: And Counsel for Patent Owner?
20	MR. McAUGHAN: Yes, Your Honors. Thank you. My name is Bob
21	McAughan, I'm with McAughan Deaver in Houston Texas, and I represent
22	Mr. Mark Kilbourne, the Patent Owner.
23	JUDGE HOSKINS: Thank you. And welcome, Mr. McAughan. I
24	forgot to introduce you to your panelists today. So, my name is George
25	Hoskins. Obviously, I'm participating remotely. My colleagues there in the

2

room are Judge Richard Marschall, he's in the center chair; and Judge Jason
 Melvin, to Judge Marschall's left.

Before we turn to argument, I want to raise just one preliminary issue, so that we all understand what's in play. This is Patent Owner's motion to exclude where the Patent Owner identified a number of arguments and evidence raised in the Petitioner's reply, that in the Patent Owner's view exceeds the proper scope of reply. The Patent Owner has withdrawn the motion, but asked us to nonetheless consider the merits of whether the challenged subject matter is a proper scope for reply.

Given that the issue has been briefed fully, and there's no time issue here, we are going to go ahead and consider that issue of whether Petitioner's reply is or is not within the scope of our rules of proper reply to the Patent Owner's response. So, feel free to argue it. Petitioner, if you want to address it, feel free to address it; and Patent Owner, if you want to address it feel free to address it. It's still a live issue. Are there any questions on that front?

17

MR. HYNDS: I have no questions on that front, Your Honor.

18 MR. McAUGHAN: No, Your Honor.

JUDGE HOSKINS: Okay. Thank you. So, under the trial hearing
order, each side has 60 minutes, Petitioner, Mr. Hynds, you can reserve time
if you want. And do you want to reserve time for rebuttal?

MR. HYNDS: Yes, Your Honor. I'd like to reserve 20 minutes forrebuttal.

JUDGE HOSKINS: Okay. My colleague, Judge Marschall, is going
to keep time there for you in the room. I think there's a clock, where you
can kind of keep track on where you are. And just one final reminder before

1	we begin, please, when you're using your demonstrative exhibits and the
2	slides, please try to refer to the slide number when you turn to a particular
3	slide. It helps clear up the record, and it helps me to follow, because I'm
4	working off of the computer screen, and it's different than the projection
5	screen there in the room.
6	So, with those preliminary remarks, let me just make sure there are no
7	kind of procedural questions, before we turn to arguments. So, Mr. Hynds?
8	MR. HYNDS: The only procedural issue I have, Your Honors, I have
9	some paper copies of the slides, if I can hand them up, and how many copies
10	would you guys
11	JUDGE HOSKINS: That will be great, thank you. Yeah.
12	Mr. McAughan, do you have any questions before we start argument?
13	MR. McAUGHAN: No, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE HOSKINS: Okay. Thank you very much. So, Mr. Hynds,
15	feel free to proceed when you're ready.
16	MR. HYNDS: Okay. Good afternoon again, Your Honors. This IPR
17	was instituted on two grounds. The first ground relates to claims 11 through
18	15 and 17 of the 795 Patent, and the second ground relates to dependent
19	claim 16. And both of these grounds are based on the combination of Padiak
20	and Anderson, and I'm referring to slide 2.
21	Before I jump into the issues, I just wanted to do a brief overview of
22	the challenged 795 Patent and the Padiak and Anderson references. So, I'm
23	going to refer to slide number 3, which has several figures of the 795 Patent.
24	The 795 Patent is directed to an electronic deadbolt adaptor that can
25	be attached to a preexisting deadbolt. The splined stem which is shown in
26	purple, attaches on one end to the tail piece of a preexisting deadbolt lock,

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.