### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No. IPR2019-00259 U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,075,917



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '917 PATENT	1
A	. DESCRIPTION OF THE ALLEGED INVENTION OF THE '917 PATENT	
B	SUMMARY OF THE PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE '917 PATENT	1
$\mathbf{C}$	. LEVEL OF SKILL OF A PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	4
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R.	
§ 42	2.104	4
	. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A)	
	. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) AND RELIEF	
	EQUESTED	
C	. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	5
	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE	
	ALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '917 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE (	
	. SHOWING OF ANALOGOUS, PRIOR ART	6
	. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-3 AND 9-10 ARE OBVIOUS OVER DECKER IN VIEW OF	^
$A_{I}$	BROL	y
V.	CONCLUSION	9
VI.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	0
A	. REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST6	0
	RELATED MATTERS6	
$\mathbf{C}$	. LEAD AND BACK-UP COUNSEL	0



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

# Cases:

Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	6
AM General LLC v. UUSI, LLC, IPR2016-01050, Paper No. 17 (PTAB Nov. 14, 2016)	9
Statutes:	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	6
35 U.S.C. § 102(e) (pre AIA)	8
Regulations:	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	.60
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	.60
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and (b)(4)	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	5, 6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	4, 5



### I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Apple Inc. ("Petitioner") requests an *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of claims 1-3 and 9-10 (collectively, the "Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,075,917 ("the '917 Patent"). '917 Patent (Ex. 1001).

### II. SUMMARY OF THE '917 PATENT

### A. Description of the alleged invention of the '917 Patent

The '917 Patent generally describes a system and method of detecting error-affected data transmitted over a wireless network and requesting retransmission. '917 Patent (Ex. 1001) at 1:5-8, 1:64-67. The '917 Patent discusses doing so more quickly by detecting error-affected data at the physical layer, rather than waiting for this step to be performed at the radio link control layer. *Id.* at 1:40-50, 2:28-44. To manage this functionality, abbreviated sequence numbers are generated and associated with packet data units, reducing the amount of information transmitted between the sides and simplifying the positive or negative acknowledgement process. *Id.* at 2:45-54.

# B. Summary of the prosecution history of the '917 Patent

The Application that resulted in the '917 Patent was filed on October 9, 2001, as U.S. App. No. 09/973,312. '917 Patent (Ex. 1001). The '917 Patent purports to claim priority to German Patent Application No. 100 50 117, filed



October 11, 2000. For purposes of this IPR, Petitioner applies the priority date of October 11, 2000, to all Challenged Claims. Should it become an issue as to whether the '917 Patent is entitled to the October 11, 2000, priority date, Petitioner reserves the right to provide further evidence regarding an insufficient priority claim.

On September 21, 2005, the Examiner issued a non-final rejection, objecting to informalities and requesting clarification of dependent claims 4-8, but citing no prior art directly and finding allowable subject matter in claims 1-3, 9, and 10. '917 Patent File History (Ex. 1002) at 61.

In response, the Applicant amended the claims to address the Examiner's concerns. *Id.* at 69-73. The Examiner subsequently issued a notice of allowance for claims 1-10, issuing as the '917 Patent on July 11, 2006. *Id.* at 81.

## C. Summary of unpatentability of the Challenged Claims

As discussed above, the purported invention of the '917 Patent is unambiguously identifying each packet data unit with an abbreviated sequence number. '917 Patent (Ex. 1001) at 2:45-54. However, use of an abbreviated or shortened sequence number to identify a packet data unit was known prior to the '917 Patent's priority date. In particular, U.S. Patent No. 6,507,582 to *Abrol* teaches generating shortened sequence numbers from assigned sequence numbers



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

