

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

OCULAR THERAPEUTIX, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

MATI THERAPEUTICS INC.  
Patent Owner

US Patent No. 9,849,082

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2019-00448

---

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF US PATENT NO. 9,849,082  
UNDER 35 USC §§ 311-319 AND CFR § 42.100, *et. seq.***

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
A. The Parties .....	1
B. The Challenged ‘082 Patent .....	2
C. Mandatory Notices And Certifications .....	3
1. Real Party-in-Interest .....	3
2. Related Matters .....	4
3. Counsel and Service Information .....	4
4. Standing .....	5
II. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘082 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION .....	5
A. Summary of the ‘082 Patent and Its Claims .....	5
B. The Prosecution of the ‘082 Patent .....	8
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	10
A. “Distinguishing Color to Show” .....	10
B. “Sheath Body” .....	11
IV. STATUTORY GROUNDS, CHALLENGED CLAIMS, AND PRIOR ART .....	13
A. The Legal Standards for Anticipation and Obviousness .....	14
B. The Scope And Content of The Prior Art .....	15
C. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	26
V. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	27
A. Ground 1: Anticipation of Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-20, and 22-23 by <i>Pritchard</i> under §102 .....	27
1. Limitations Common to All Challenged Claims .....	28
(a) “A drug delivery system for insertion into a lacrimal canaliculus of a patient” (Preamble of Claims 1, 11, and 18) .....	28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
(b) “a therapeutic agent” .....	29
(c) “a distinguishing color to show placement of the system in the lacrimal canaliculus of the patient” .....	29
(d) “a body of material to hold the therapeutic agent wherein the body of material comprises hydrogel polymers” .....	29
(e) “wherein the body of material is a cylindrical rod” .....	30
2. Other Limitations .....	31
(a) “wherein the hydrogel swells when the system is inserted into the lacrimal canaliculus of the patient” .....	31
(b) “wherein the polymers comprise functional groups” .....	33
(c) specific therapeutic agents .....	33
(d) “wherein the system does not comprise a sheath body” .....	34
B. Ground 2: Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-20, 22-23 Are Obvious Over <i>Pritchard</i> in View of <i>Gillespie</i> under §103 .....	61
C. Ground 3: Claims 8, 17, and 21 Are Obvious Over <i>Pritchard</i> in View of <i>Gillespie</i> and <i>Hellberg</i> under §103 .....	64
D. Ground 4: Claims 1-7, 9-16, 18-20, 22-23 Are Obvious Over <i>Pritchard</i> in View of the <i>Handbook</i> under §103 .....	66
E. Ground 5: Claims 8, 17, and 21 Are Obvious Over <i>Pritchard</i> in View of the <i>Handbook</i> and <i>Hellberg</i> under §103 .....	68
VI. CONCLUSION .....	70

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>FEDERAL CASES</b>	<b>PAGE(S)</b>
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc.</i> , 805 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	17
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (2009).....	34
<i>Genzyme Thera. Prods. Ltd. P’ship v. Biomarin Pharm. Inc.</i> , 825 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	16
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	15
<i>Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.</i> , 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	10
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	15
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Telemac Cellular Corp. v. Topp Telecom, Inc.</i> , 247 F. 3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	34
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> , 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987).....	15
 <b>FEDERAL STATUTES</b>	 <b>PAGE(S)</b>
35 U.S.C. § 102.....	14
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	14
 <b>REGULATIONS</b>	 <b>PAGE(S)</b>
7 C.F.R. § 42.100.....	10

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

83 FED. REG. 51340.....10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.