

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLACKBERRY CORP.,

Petitioner,

v.

MAZ ENCRYPTION TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00624

U.S. Patent No. 7,096,358

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 317(a)**

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and authorization of the Board granted on April 8, 2019, Petitioner BlackBerry Corp. (“BlackBerry”) and Patent Owner MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC, (“MAZ”) jointly request termination of IPR2019-00624, which is directed to U.S. Patent No.7,096,358 (“the ’358 Patent”).

This *inter partes* review was filed January 25, 2019. A Preliminary Response has not been filed by the Patent Owner and no decision regarding institution of the proceeding has issued. Further, no final written decision on the merits of this review proceeding has been entered. The parties have settled their dispute, and have reached agreement to terminate this *inter partes* review. As there are no other petitioners in this proceeding, and the proceeding is still at a very early stage, the parties respectfully submit that termination of this proceeding is appropriate.

The parties’ Settlement Agreement has been made in writing, and a true copy of same is attached hereto as Exhibit 1033.¹ The parties further jointly certify that there is no other agreement or understanding between them, including any other collateral agreements, made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of the instant proceeding as set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 317(b). The parties

¹ The Settlement Agreement is being filed electronically via the Patent Trial and Appeals Board’s E2E as “Parties and Board Only.”

desire that the Settlement Agreement be maintained as business confidential information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) and a separate joint request to that effect is being filed concurrently.

As stated in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), because Petitioner and Patent Owner jointly request this termination as to Petitioner, no estoppel under 35 U.S.C. § 315(e) shall attach to Petitioner.

I. Reasons Why Termination is Appropriate

Termination with respect to Petitioner is proper under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) because the parties are jointly requesting termination and the Office has not yet “decided on the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” Here, no decision on the merits has been made. Accordingly, the parties are entitled to terminate this proceeding as to Petitioner under Section 317(a) upon their joint request.

The parties respectfully submit that termination of this proceeding is appropriate because (a) this proceeding is at a very early stage and no motions are outstanding; (b) the parties have reached agreement to end their dispute concerning the ‘358 Patent; (c) the parties have agreed to dismiss the related district court litigation with respect to the ‘358 Patent; (d) the parties agree that this Inter Partes Review should be terminated; and (e) termination of this proceeding will preserve

the Board's resources and obviate the need for any more Board involvement in this matter.

II. Related District Court Litigation and Status

The '358 patent was asserted in *MAZ Encryption Technologies LLC v. BlackBerry Limited et al.* (Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-03267-K) (Northern District of Texas). This litigation proceeding has been dismissed as part of the parties' Settlement Agreement. There are no other current litigation proceedings involving the subject patent.

III. Related Proceedings Before the Office

No other proceedings related to the '358 Patent are pending.

Dated: April 9, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

<p><u>/Neal Massand/</u> Neal Massand (Reg. No. 54,296) Ni, Wang & Massand, PLLC 8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 500 Dallas, Texas 75231 (972) 331-4601 nmassand@nilawfirm.com</p> <p><i>Counsel for Patent Owner MAZ Encryption Technologies, LLC</i></p>	<p><u>/Robert C. Mattson/</u> Robert C. Mattson (Reg. No. 42,850) OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP 1940 Duke Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 412-6466 cpdocketmattson@oblon.com</p> <p><i>Counsel for Petitioner BlackBerry Corp</i></p>
---	--

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies service of JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING on the counsel of record for the Patent Owner by filing this document through the PTABE2E System as well as delivering a copy via electronic mail to the following address:

Neal Massand
nmassand@nilawfirm.com

Nao Ni
hni@nilawfirm.com

Ni, Wang & Massand, PLLC
8140 Walnut Hill Ln., Ste. 500
Dallas, TX 75231

Dated: April 9, 2019

/Robert C. Mattson/
Robert C. Mattson (Reg. No. 42,850)