

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case No.: To Be Assigned
U.S. Patent No. 7,827,370

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,827,370**

Mail Stop “**Patent Board**”
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. §42.22(a))	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES	2
A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1))	2
B.	Identification of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C.	Counsel and Service Information (37 C.F.R. §§42.8(b)(3) & (b)(4))..	3
D.	Payment of fees (37 C.F.R. §42.103).....	4
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	4
A.	Prior Art Relied Upon	4
B.	Identification of Challenge.....	5
IV.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....	5
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '370 PATENT	8
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	11
VII.	SUMMARY OF THE PRIOR ART	12
A.	Chevallier	12
B.	Toombs.....	13
C.	Estakhri.....	14
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	14
A.	“a data register”	16
B.	“redefine the command to allow permanent write protection”	17
C.	“wherein said at least one bit has a certain predefined value”.....	18
D.	“wherein said at least one bit is reprogrammable”	19
E.	“memory group”.....	20
IX.	A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	21
X.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1-3, 5-6, 12-15, AND 25 ARE ANTICIPATED UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(a) AND (e) BY CHEVALLIER.	22
A.	Independent Claim 1	22
B.	Dependent Claim 2.....	28
C.	Dependent Claim 3.....	29
D.	Dependent Claim 5.....	30
E.	Dependent Claim 6.....	33
F.	Independent Claim 12	34

U.S. Patent No. 7,827,370
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

G.	Dependent Claim 13	38
H.	Dependent Claim 14	38
I.	Dependent Claim 15	38
J.	Independent Claim 25	39
XI.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 1-3, 5-6, 12-15, AND 25 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER CHEVALLIER IN VIEW OF THE KNOWLEDGE OF A POSA.	40
A.	Independent Claims 1, 12, and 25	41
B.	Dependent Claim 3	42
C.	Dependent Claims 5 and 14	44
D.	Dependent Claims 2, 6, 13, and 15	45
XII.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 1-3, 5-7, 12-15, 19, AND 25 ARE OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER CHEVALLIER IN VIEW OF TOOMBS. 45	
A.	Independent Claim 1	45
B.	Dependent Claim 2	48
C.	Dependent Claim 3	48
D.	Dependent Claim 4	51
E.	Dependent Claims 5, 13, and 14	53
F.	Dependent Claim 6	57
G.	Dependent Claim 7	58
H.	Independent Claim 12	59
I.	Dependent Claim 15	61
J.	Dependent Claim 19	62
K.	Independent Claim 25	62
XIII.	GROUND 4: CLAIM 25 IS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 OVER THE CHEVALLIER-TOOMBS-ESTAKHRI COMBINATION.	63
XIV.	CONCLUSION.....	64

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<u>Cases</u>	
<i>Memory Technologies, LLC v. Kingston Technology Corporation et al.</i> , 8:18-cv-00171-JLS-JDE (C.D. Cal.)	2
<i>In re Fracalossi</i> , 681 F.2d 792, 794 (CCPA 1982)	41
<i>In re Meyer</i> , 599 F.2d 1026 (CCPA 1979)	41
<i>In re Pearson</i> , 494 F.2d 1399 (CCPA 1974)	41
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	14, 15, 16
<i>Schrader-Bridgeport Int’l, et al. v. Wasica Finance GMBH et al.</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00476, Paper 30 (PTAB July 22, 2015)	41

Statutes and Codes

United States Code	
Title 35, Section 102	1, 22, 41
Title 35, Section 102(a)	4, 5, 22
Title 35, Section 102(b)	4
Title 35, Section 102(e)	4
Title 35, Section 103	<i>passim</i>
Title 35, Section 112	16
Title 35, Section 282(b)	14

Rules and Regulations

Code of Federal Regulations

Title 37, Section 42.6(a)(2)(ii).....	66
Title 37, Section 42.6(a)(2)(iii).....	66
Title 37, Section 42.8.....	66
Title 37, Section 42.10(b).....	3
Title 37, Section 42.15.....	4
Title 37, Section 42.24(a)(1)(i).....	66
Title 37, Section 42.104.....	4
Title 37, Section 42.104(b).....	5
Title 37, Section 42.105.....	4
Title 37, Section 42.106.....	4
Title 37, Section 42.108(c).....	16, 41

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.