

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No.: To Be Assigned  
U.S. Patent No. 7,739,487

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,739,487**

Mail Stop “**Patent Board**”  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. §42.22(a)) .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	2
A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(1)) .....	2
B.	Identification of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C.	Counsel and Service Information.....	3
D.	3	
E.	(37 C.F.R. §§42.8(b)(3) & (b)(4)).....	3
F.	Payment of fees (37 C.F.R. §42.103).....	3
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW.....	3
A.	Identification of Challenge.....	3
IV.	BACKGROUND OF THE TECHNOLOGY.....	5
A.	MMC Cards .....	5
B.	Booting from Flash Memory Devices .....	8
C.	Booting from Removable Flash Memory Devices .....	11
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE '487 PATENT .....	13
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	16
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	18
A.	“data frame” .....	20
VIII.	A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	20
IX.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PRIOR ART .....	21
A.	Toombs (Ex. 1005).....	21
B.	McClain (Ex. 1006).....	21
C.	Kozakai (Ex. 1007).....	22
D.	Kurakata (Ex. 1008) .....	22
X.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 6, 7, 20, AND 21 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TOOMBS AND MCCLAIN.....	23
A.	Claim 6 .....	31
1.	Claim 6 [preamble] .....	31
2.	Claim 6[a] .....	35
3.	Claim 6[b] .....	35

U.S. Patent No. 7,739,487  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

B.	Claim 7 .....	41
C.	Claim 20 .....	43
	1. Claim 20 [preamble] .....	43
	To the extent that the preamble is limiting, Toombs and McClain disclose .....	43
	2. Claim 20[a] .....	43
	3. Claim 20[b] .....	44
	4. Claim 20[c] .....	45
	5. Claim 20[d] .....	46
D.	Claim 21 .....	47
XI.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 6, 7, 20, AND 21 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TOOMBS, MCCLAIN, AND KOZAKAI .....	48
A.	Claim 6 .....	50
	1. Claim 6 [preamble] .....	50
	2. Claim 6[a] .....	51
	3. Claim 6[b] .....	51
	4. Claim 6[c] .....	53
B.	Claim 7 .....	54
C.	Claim 20 .....	56
	1. Claim 20 [preamble] .....	56
	2. Claim 20[a] .....	57
	3. Claim 20[b] .....	57
	4. Claim 20[c] .....	57
	5. Claim 20[d] .....	57
D.	Claim 21 .....	59
XII.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 13, 26, 42, AND 52 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY TOOMBS, MCCLAIN, AND KURAKATA .....	60
A.	Claim 13 .....	62
	1. Claim 13 [preamble] .....	62
	2. Claim 13[a] .....	63
	3. Claim 13[b] .....	66
	4. Claim 13[c] .....	67

U.S. Patent No. 7,739,487  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

B.	Claim 26 .....	70
1.	Claim 26 [preamble] .....	70
2.	Claim 26[a] .....	70
3.	Claim 26[b] .....	70
4.	Claim 26[c] .....	71
5.	Claim 26[d] .....	71
C.	Claim 42 .....	74
D.	Claim 52 .....	74
XIII.	CONCLUSION.....	75

U.S. Patent No. 7,739,487  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

**Table of Authorities**

**Cases**

<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007).....	30, 50, 62, 66
--	----------------

<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	18, 19
--	--------

**Statutes and Codes**

United States Code

Title 35, section 101.....	17
Title 35, section 102.....	17
Title 35, section 102(a) .....	4, 5
Title 35, section 102(b).....	4, 5
Title 35, section 102(e) .....	4, 5
Title 35, section 103.....	passim
Title 35, section 112.....	20
Title 35, section 282(b).....	18

**Rules and Regulations**

Code of Federal Regulations

Title 37, section 42.10(b).....	3
Title 37, section 42.103.....	3
Title 37, section 42.104(b).....	3
Title 37, section 42.108(c) .....	19
Title 37, section 42.22(a) .....	1
Title 37, section 42.8(b)(1) .....	2
Title 37, section 42.8(b)(2) .....	2
Title 37, section 42.8(b)(3) .....	3
Title 37, section 42.8(b)(4) .....	3

Federal Register

Vol. 83, No. 51340.....	18
-------------------------	----

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.